

Philosophical Meditations Print ISSN: 2228-5253

Online ISSN: 2588-3615



Western Buddha And Eastern Superman

C. Upendra ¹

1. Associate Professor [Philosophy], School of Humanities & Social Sciences, Indian Institute of Technology Indore, India. Email:cupendra@iiti.acin

Article Info: **Article type:**

Research Article

Article history:

Received: 21 January 2025 Received in revised form: 16 March 2025 Accepted: 23 April 2025 **Published online:** 15 July 2025

Keywords:

Zarathustra, Buddha, Nietzsche, Superman, Nihilism

Abstract: The profundity of an idea, philosophy, or doctrine is

often revealed by how it is accepted or rejected by others. Buddhist philosophy demonstrates this dynamic in its reception in the West. There are several illuminating perspectives on how Nietzsche interpreted Buddha, and on how these interpretations were received in both the West and the East. The claim that Nietzsche misinterpreted Buddha-similar to the way Schopenhauer and interpreted Indian philosophical traditions-often overshadows Nietzsche's more sympathetic engagement with Buddhism. However, the questions that concerned both thinkers cannot be fully addressed or resolved within a single generation, nor can we easily simplify their shared concerns. This paper focuses on critics' portrayals of Nietzsche as having misinterpreted Buddha. Amidst various philosophical and existential issues, the paper seeks to foster a degree of sympathy for Nietzsche, and thus for Buddha as well, by exploring their concepts of 'impermanence' in relation to suffering and the relief from suffering. The shared element is the imperative 'to overcome': for Nietzsche, this concerns humanity; for Buddha, it concerns the self. The underlying hypothesis is that Gautama Buddha may be seen as Nietzsche's Overman (Übermensch).

Homepage: phm.znu.ac.ir

Cite this article: Upendra, C (2025). Western Buddha And Eastern Superman, Philosophical Meditations, 15(Special issue: 34),127-149. https://doi.org/10.30470/phm.2025.726793 © The Author(s). Publisher: University of Zanjan.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.30470/phm.2025.726793



The Lure to Buddhism

Amidst a plethora of criticisms that the West is subject to, we can say that there is an attempt on their part to reconcile and embrace 'the other' in the true phenomenological sense. Several reasons explain the West's attraction to Eastern philosophies, especially in the eighteenth and nineteenth centuries. Much has been written and said about Schopenhauer's casting his shadow Nietzsche, on the idea of especially, on Buddhism - eventually, shadow is left behind. What made the West look up to philosophy and Buddha's Buddhism at large? Why did it attention of catch the Nietzsche? German philosophers, P. Bilimoria writes, had been greatly affected by one way or another via the

Romantics reaching out to the Orient (P. Bilimoria, 2008). Bilimoria makes a fantastic beginning remark by saying that "Nietzsche reached the East on the eve of his mental collapse." Having bothered about will and representation, finding utter dissatisfaction in the philosophical principle of metaphysical absolutism in the western philosophy - unhappy the principle of also with metaphysics of transcendence (Panaïoti, 2012, p. 20), the realm of being and truth that transcends the immanent world of becoming and appearance. By placing a great emphasis on the *ideal* or *beyond*, Western philosophy had undermined the truths of the immanent world (negation of the actual world).¹ This is seen as decadence by Nietzsche - because of the extraordinary influence Schopenhauer² had on him and

¹ This point is elaborated by Giles Deleuze in his book on Nietzsche's philosophy. "Values to superior to life cannot be separated from their effect."

² Marc Sautet argued that Schopenhauer had radialized Kant's epistemological pessimism ... all human

the vanishing of 'Dionysian tragic element' (with the advent of incorrigible optimists) in the period. modern The disillusionment occurred after a catastrophic psychological collapse when he realized that Richard Wagner too had gone far away from the tragic element in his musical forms. There are two reasons for his turn to Buddhism (even though by way of stark criticism) -Nietzsche's irritability with Schopenhauer's 'obsession with pessimistic nihilism', which he sees as an escape route of the West. Secondly, his dissatisfaction with the ways of Christianity - its obsession with sin (seen in suffering, also guilt) and the posited as glorification of the goal of 'reaching heaven'- emphasis on the holy of the future, and the present being treated as (like that unholy of St. Augustine's City of God). Nietzsche saw the immoral side

of Christianity (especially the Pauline version- seen in the form of historical decay of Christianity) that calls redemption from the original sin by exploiting the death of Jesus. Karl Löwith mentions a very interesting Nietzsche's interpretation of the death of Jesus - "...it signifies that man was crucified and broken in his true nature (Löwith, 1997, p. 39)." Nietzsche sees nihilist mentality (like that of sunyata or nothingness in Indian Philosophy) gaining its strong hold in Europe - denigrating the world of becoming - lured by the seduction of the Truth of a Real World. Christianity is destroyed by its own morality. Meaning much of Christian morality is constructed around false moralizing tendencies - for example. Suffering is the result of sin and finds its ethics on a complex (A. Panaïoti, guilt 2012, p. 48).

beings are on the wrong side ... of appearances ... which accounts for our suferings ...

Nietzsche was critical ofChristianity's—and by extension, most of Western philosophy's (with exception of certain favored thinkers) presupposition that "the world does have the value we thought it had," which reflects an undue emphasis on a transcendent reality (Reginster, 2006, p. 52). For Nietzsche, Christianity fundamentally a religion of decadence and pity. The surrender of the self through the pursuit of redemption, in his view, is a pathological sign of feebleness of man'.

crisis Nietzsche The identified traces back to the ofdestruction harmonious unity between and Dionysus—a Apollo theme to which he was deeply attached. The idea is follows: suffering as becomes existential an imperative, through and Dionysus, "man found that his existence was not limited to his individual experiences

alone, and then a way was found to escape all men, which is death." In The Birth **Nietzsche** Tragedy, objects explicitly Christianity's emphasis on human abnegation through excessive focus on prayer, sin, punishment, and grace (Mistry, 1981, p. 42). He suggests instead that "one must immerse oneself in the life of the now." Nietzsche believed that Dionysian art forges bonds both among human beings and between humans and His nature. disillusionment with Christianity reflects the powerful influence of Greek tragedy upon him. Apollo symbolizes individuation and the sensible structure of the world. whereas Dionysus—the god of festivals—represents extravagant licentiousness and the unleashing of primal instincts. The most ofimportant aspect Dionysian art, which rooted intoxication in

(Nietzsche, 1999, p. 159), is the ecstatic feeling accompanies the dissolution of the 'individual self.' In this state, "man is no longer he himself artist: an becomes, with the same ecstasy and sublimity, as when once he saw the gods walk." The unity of Apollo and Dionysus is necessary individuation because separates the person from the world (interpreted as modern rationality), while the ecstatic, sublimated mode restores a sense of commonality. Nietzsche's intuition was that the Dionysian way dissolves social classes and heralds a gospel of universal harmony. lamented He the disappearance of this spirit, which he believed had detrimental effects on humanity and on Greek tragedy itself, as it faded

through medieval Christianity and into modern disenchantment. The central question thus becomes: how humanity can be enchanted with the world? Alexander Gatherer nicely summarizes saying that the combination of chorus and tragedy helps to bring forth matters such as death and mortality bearable more phenomena. 1 The point of objection lies here, to mention Nietzsche, This co-existence marks the high point of Hellenic culture; originally only Apollo is a Hellenic god of art, and it was his power which so moderated dionysos when he came storming in from Asia that hte most beautiful brotherly bond would come about ... a cult of nature which amond the proples of Asia had meant the crudest unleashing of lower drives (untermensch, a

1 see his web entry "The on Dionysian and the Apollonian Nietzsche: The Birth of Tragedy" https://theoxfordphilosophers.com/201

^{4/08/25/}the-dionysian-and-theapollonian-innietzsche-the-bith-oftragedy/

animality panhetaeric (Nietzsche, 1999, p. 121).

What do redemption and transfiguration mean in the context of Greek Tragedy, according to Nietzsche? For Nietzsche, they represent a profound transformation to a more beautiful or spiritual state, as the artist separates from the chorus in Dionysiac self-abandonment and imagines unity with the world through a "symbolic dreamimage." Nietzsche's questionable assumption here that Greek Dionysus inevitably aligns with Apollo, transforming violent orgies into "festivals of universal release and redemption, and days of transfiguration" (Attic Tragedy). From this point onward, Nietzsche remained with preoccupied two Can life questions: be redeemed? Is it worth living? Buddha's teachings offer potential answers to these questions. Nietzsche raises these issues in response to what he sees as the Socratic

obsession with knowledge consequences and its Western philosophy, especially the Socratic and Platonic quest for knowledge. Plato's Socrates maintains that no harm can befall a good person—someone with the right kind of knowledge. The unity between Dionysus and Apollo symbolizes for Nietzsche the primordial unity of all life. He perceives the loss of this unity as coinciding with the decline of Tragedy, replaced by theoretical optimism. The suffering of destroyed individuals is seen necessary, as given the exuberant excess of life's forms striving to exist. In Dionysian ecstasy, we are alive, joyfully "not as individuals, but as the one living being, with whose procreative lust we become one." The decline is also attributed to overemphasis on language— Shakespeare's echoing Hamlet, whose tragic

characters speak more superficially than they act.

Returning to Nietzsche's critique of Christianity, he identifies in it a similar form of "false optimism"—the belief that the world was created by an omnipotent, benevolent God who will ensure a just cosmic order (Nietzsche, 1999, p. xvii). This critique also reveals Nietzsche's perspective on morality. The Western doctrine of the redeemer, or conventional non-Greek morality, undermines the human will to power and renders people submissive nihilists. Nietzsche regarded the fusion of the Apollonian and Dionysian as the path to human greatness—a quality he found lacking in Christian morality. As previously noted, Christian belief encourages the illusion that this life is of little value in comparison to a superior, transcendent For (Platonic) world. Nietzsche. is there no

transcendent world; rather, we must learn to endure existence as it is. He sees the risk of humanity falling into ethical void. While bearable conditions living matter. Nietzsche aspired to exceptional something for humankind, a theme central to his concept of the eternal return or recurrence.

At this point we can say that looked Nietzsche toward Buddhism that was swaying the European winds - in some sense, we can say along with other Asian philosophies, European the making invasion. Another reason is the way the 'figure of the Jesus' as put forth by Renan attacking the notion 'psychology of the redeemer'. In the Anti-Christ, he draws detailed parallels between Buddha and Jesus - in terms of they being symbolic of free spirit and noncombative. 1 It is interesting to quote Nietzsche here from Anti-Christ here:

> Buddhism proposes mild climate. very extremely gentle and liberal cus- toms, the complete absence ofmilitarism. the and of existence higher. scholarly classes to give focus to the movement. The highest goals are cheerfulness, quiet, and an absence of desire, and these goals are achieved. Buddhism is not religion where people only aspire to perfection: perfection is the norm.

On a general note, most of the critics and philosophers the visible talk about opposition that he had staged Buddhism against overlapping in some instances with Christianity (calling both of them as religions of pity or ascetic religions) and contrasting

them in many ways. Things that he rejects of Buddhism [1] Compassion and life-negation - A common (mis)understanding Buddha's philosophy is that, Nietzsche says, gets into the mode of 'passive nihilism', and ultimately to nothingness. This may be a misinterpretation of Buddha. Critics are not happy with equivocation this ofBuddha's nibbana to nihilism and nothingness. In Genealogy of Morals, he qualifies Buddha's philosophy as having the great nausea of the will to nothingness (Reginster & Reginster, 2009, p. 43). [2] Buddha too suffered from the illness of decadence -Nietzsche treats Buddha as having ignited in humans the desire of the withdrawal form life. [3] Schopenhauer the endorses Buddhist doctrine of cessation of

See web blog http://wawalker.com/2011/05/13/nietzsc hes-antichrist-jesus-and-buddhism. Bill

Walker, "Nietzsche's Anti-Christ: Jesus and Buddhism"

him. desire. or to the negation of the will (Which Nietzsche hangs on to). As mentioned, already Nietzsche identifies peculiar trend in Europe - of finding an escape route from all inherent traditions. The basic tenets of Buddhism amazed Schopenhauer to a large extent. Schopenhauer took Buddhism as offering a pessimistic view on earthly He existence. **Buddhist** philosophy having an overlap in four major aspects of his: [1] Time, space and causality are not true in the real absolute sense. [2] A nontheistic assumption of a transcendental reality, the uneality of a divine subject. [3] Incomprehensibility of reality to ultimate the intellect, yet supposed to sensible in he our experience of life (transcending but immanent) [4] Life is inescapably ruled by passion, need, pain, and

fear. The task is altogether difficult. different. monumental in identifying whether nineteenth-century had right Europe a understanding of Buddha's philosophy. However. Schopenhauer paraphrases it as 'all life involves suffering but that at a deep level there is no such thing as 'the self' - a recognition of this takes 118 enlightenment (Warburton, 2011). Antoine Panaiti mentions a point that may affect our argument despite his outspoken opposition to Buddhism, some of his thoughts comply with the Hinayana Theravada Buddhism. [4.] For Nietzsche. both Buddhism and Christianity question answer the of suffering from the perspective of guilt. It can be seen as another misunderstanding. This, for contributes him. to the negation of the will. Eventually, Buddhism has

exaggerated absorption with pain, while Christianity is accused for obsession with Defenders sin Christianity do not see it as obsession. but understanding of the doctrines of redemption and salvation. Nietzsche felt that this preoccupation with suffering and pain affects the creative human aspiration negatively. [5.] Buddhism is too weak - to truly welcome suffering that life entails. He interprets *nirvana* as the road to nihilism, and then to nothingness - where he alleges Buddhism for the negation of the will. Buddhism Nietzsche. passively and distinctively pessimistic, nihilistic decadent. It also advocates pity that leads humans to nothingness ... more suitable for those who reach the end of life (Bilimoria, 2008, p. 366). [6.] Nietzsche does not find in Buddhism the freedom to act and realize one-self. Because of these

objections he has Buddhism, he classifies his version as an antipode to him - while also claiming himself as the buddha of **Besides** Europe. negatives, there are certain positives too [1] Nietzsche saw some sense of honesty in Buddhist philosophy - no deception of moral concepts, and it is beyond good and evil. While warning against harmful acts, Buddha also emphasizes the avoidance of bodily sickness - which led Nietzsche to credit replacing Buddha for morality with physiology. [2.] Buddha's knowledge is not at all through any kind of divine revelation, nor does it have supernatural origins, but comes from an insight into the natural of things. order [3.] Nietzsche comes close to Buddhism as the latter is in line with the not principles of linearity and permanence of life. [4.]Neither Aristotelian causes

efficient nor causes, explains Buddhism the significance of dependent causation. [5.] The belief in the mind-body complex conceived as continuity and union attracted Nietzsche's attention. who was otherwise not at ease with the Cartesian dualism. [6.] Similar to his declaration of the death of all gods, he finds Buddhist philosophy not centered around the notion of god. [7.] An attractive idea is samsara (the world in perpetual flux) coupled with autonomous notion of karma that takes rebirth without a benevolent providing the salvation, or, in the Christian sense, the journey to heaven.

The disillusionment with Christianity and probably finding some similarities of it in Buddhist philosophy, and perhaps looking for what is missing in the western nihilist traditions in Buddhism (*His criticisms of*

Buddhism are not be treated as nullifying the latter), we say that Nietzsche declares the death of god and the birth of Zarathustra. Where has God gone?" he cried." I will tell you. We have killed him-you and I! All of us are his murderers! But how did we do this? How could we drink up the sea? Who gave us sponge to wipe away the entire horizon? What were we doing when unchained this earth from its sun? Where is it moving to now? Where are we moving to? Away from all suns? Are we plunging not continuously? Backward. sideways, forward, in all directions? Is there still any up or down? Are we not straying through as infinite nothing? Do we not feel the breath of empty space? Has it not become colder? Is not night and continually more night closing in on us? Do we not need to light lanterns in the

morning? Do we hear nothing as yet of the noise of the gravediggers who are burying God? Do we smell nothing as yet of the divine decomposition? - Gods, too, decompose! God is dead! God remains dead! And we have killed him! . . . What was holiest and mightiest of all that the world has yet owned has bled to death under our knives... With what water can we cleanse ourselves? What festivals of what atonement. sacred games shall we have to invent? Is not the greatness of this deed too great for us? Must we ourselves become gods simply to appear worthy of it? There has never been a greater deed; and whoever is born after us-for the sake of this deed he will belong to a higher history than history hitherto! With the death of God arrives and confiscates us into deep

nihilism.

With

everything has ended till

this

further awakening, in this regard. the advent Zoroaster or Zarathustra. Though Buddhism calls for a change in our approach to the world (in the form of non-transcendence). obsession with the metaphysics of knowledge is given up. To quote Nietzsche - "All gods are dead: now we want the superman to live: let this be, one day at the great noon, our last will." The need of a superman (may be the superman prevails over all godly ways to control the humans) is to get rid of the humanity (western culture) from the abyss of nihilism. Nietzsche seems to have been serious on the combination Apollonian and Dionysian ways.

The Buddha as Nietzsche's

Zarathustra

That, we humans, cannot do anything about the inescapable terrible things of existential reality, has posed a great herculean challenge. For Nietzsche, the Dionysian man Shakespeare's with shares Hamlet the terrible things that anyhow cannot change the essence of things. Nietzsche talks of reviving of the spirit a few interesting yet arguable ways - one such way is the overcoming of the 'last man' (untermensch) installing of 'the overman' (ubermensch) - the arrival of Zarathustra. The necessity of a Zarathustra is strongly felt after he became disenchanted with the Wagnerian musical drama (Bernard Reginster, 2006, p. 52). In Thus Spoke Zarathustra, Nietzsche opines that humanity is better off with 'I will' rather 'Thou Shalt'. Issues that bothered impermanence, him are ontology, substance selfassertion. will to life. of reality etc. resentment Nietzsche's Though argument with Buddhism is mostly to Hinayanism, the

grand appeal is to overcome man and finding a Zarathustra, a human being with a distinct character, experiencing deep crises and challenges, most importantly, comes to a resolution that represents a meaningful possibility human existence. 1 What is the mission of Zarathustra? The purpose of it seems to be freeing overcoming or philosophy Western and religion from nihilism, and keeping afloat the confidence in Superman. Nietzsche's superman is only understood in conjunction with ideas of the Order of Rank, the Will to Power, and the transvaluation of all values further. possessing the magnificent qualities of overflowing with life, hope and will. Besides, Zarathustra. being an embodiment of metaphysics beyond good and evil - yet represents good and bad, imagines Nietzsche the human of the future (G. Kopf,

¹ http://faculty.frostberg.edu/phil/forum/zarathustra.html

2010), who has creative power (being out of nothing), takes body as the fundamental principle, who voercomes the self by itself (no mediating force), tackling the issue of eternal recurrence and ultimately, he replaces God itself. The future human is no longer the intermediary divinity between and animality (Löwith, 1997, p. 42-44).

Freny Mistry presents an intriguing argument: Nietzsche suggests that his Zarathustra repudiates Buddha, but in fact, he also affirms certain aspects of him. Zarathustra's will to suffering and the subsequent transfiguration of suffering, hand, and the one on Buddha's life of dukkha. both offer paths toward redemption. What distinguishes Zarathustra is his essential 'tragic import,' treating existence itself as sacred in order to justify the reality of suffering. The

symbolic dimensions of both Buddha and Zarathustra are crucial in this context. This significant because Nietzsche is less concerned with the transformation of the individual self and more with the transformation of the human category as such. This should not, however, be taken as Nietzsche's neglect of the individual. Bilimoria highlights Nietzsche's point that the symbolic act of living and dving for selfredemption is significant as a 'way of life.' He argues that the Christian way of life is primarily a private form of existence, and is extremely apolitical (Bilimoria, 2008, p. 369). Does Zarathustra possesses all those qualities that Nietzsche imagines? Besides the allegations against Christianity, he wants his Zarathustra to have mighty power to 'resist the evil', primarily absent in Christianity - identifying the in **Buddhist** same philosophy, thus, qualifying

them as religions of pity. The symbolic elements remain important because Nietzsche does approve of the symbolism of Jesus and the cross, so long as these are understood in terms private existence. However, once institutionalized religion and projected as a 'temple of redemption,' this symbolism leads to what Nietzsche calls the 'dimming of the twilight of the gods' and the rise of active nihilism.

issues Nevertheless. many Nietzsche remain for clarify. First, in the great transformation where humanity meant to mediocrity and overcome bankruptcy, an enigma persists: Is Zarathustra above humanity, or is he part of it? In the case of Buddhism, we see how Buddha provides example—relieving us from worldly desires (tanha) while still belonging to the world and avoiding any fixation on the self. The principle of love

may apply to every one of the human kind condition where everyone can he Buddha or attain a buddhahood (bodhisatva). This is not sure in the case of Nietzsche's Zarathustra. The erasure of the last man takes place (meaning thereby, humanity gets rid of all those detestable qualities Nietzsche lists) paving way to the overman - who the rest of the human kind looks It like the upto. seems combination offew a supermen (with unusual radiance) and the average humans who look toward that radiance. Nietzsche's writings talk of the human condition and its decadence, but do not see Superhumanhood in every meaning person, thereby, everyone he the cannot liberator. A similar aspect attributed he. can Buddhism. Though Buddha, through his teachings, lays down the path to liberation of different kind in every person, tackling dukkha or

samsara, human beings can liberate themselves as part of private existence only. This apprehension lies at fundamental idea where Nietzsche's superman is distinguished from the 'average man' (Löwith, 1997, p. 45). Second, the confusion continues because the superman is bestowed with qualities that deeply desperate, self-desipising not despicable though. not being Humaneness is human (the latter sounding like succumbing to something deceptive). The defining element of Zarathustra is this overcoming of this fallenness to something imposed - this being the essence of creative will to power (which sounds like true human agency). This is life-affirming and expresses a vision. Third, the human (or the philosopher) still is enigma in Nietzsche. What is the shape of this new humanity? Is it only a bundle of overmen who chose to their resignation overcome

into faith? If yes, such can be the greatness of a godless Zarathustra. Another question that would sporadically erupt is whether or not this new condition overcomes master-slave perspective that Nietzsche develops. Bilimoria, they exercise the will to power, to wash away the past - "To redeem those who lived in the past and to recreate it all it was into a 'thus I willed it' - that alone should I call redemption" (Bilimoria, 2008, p. 372). Fourth, can his Zarathustra, with a new sense of morality bring back 'belief' into the world (even when a godless universe is the sustaining feature with the advent of Zarathustra)? Zarathustra resolve the cultural barrenness due to the getting strongly nihilism rooted? Fifth. the new world neither Real apparent has the will to power. This remains abstract to a This will to larger extent. power goes beyond nihilism.

It should explain itself as 'freedom from' If Zarathustra gathers in itself the power to take us beyond nihilism - does he possess the qualities of Apollo and Dionysus (as the death of god leads to rebirth of the Dionysian view of the world), or any other 'Greek genius' - who shone in the radiance of a higher humanity. Seventh, the idea of 'eternal recurrence' without principle of 'transmigration of soul'

Morrison draws an interesting parallel: both Buddha and Nietzsche reject the notion of an unchanging subject (for Buddha, there is self), which static no necessitates a different kind hierarchy among individuals. The aim is, for Buddha, to overcome tanha (craving), and for Nietzsche, to overcome nihilism through the will to power (David R. Loy, 1998). The purpose is to overcome the tanha for one, and nihilism

through the will to power for (David R. Lov, another Here. 1998). Nietzsche might have reconciled his views with **Buddhist** perspectives on suffering. Mistry rightly points out that Nietzsche mischaracterizes Buddhism as a 'negation of phenomenal reality' (Mistry, 1981). The condition of suffering as an ontological fact, and the longing for nirvana, are deeply intertwined with Nietzsche's concept of self-redemption. If nirvana is understood as freeing oneself or overcoming the self, one becoming entangled risks with the desires of existence. This Buddhist principle plunges us into an eternal paradox: we are of this world, but not at its mercy. Does Nietzsche's argument imply that existence without any goal is the ultimate aim (Löwith, 1997, p. 56)? Two misconceptions common about nirvana are that it is 'the ultimate flight from life' and

that 'nirvana disempowers human beings' (Bilimoria, 2008, p. 372). What, then, becomes of Nietzsche's idea of suffering as an existential imperative when Dionysian tragic spirit is reborn? Both Nietzschean and Buddhist notions redemption likely possess far deeper meanings than commonly assumed. Nietzsche's belief in a future Superman, however, leaves certain issues unresolved. A notable difference is that the redeemer—Buddha—has already arrived, shown the path, and departed, whereas in Nietzsche's case. humanity still awaits the coming of the Superhuman or Superman. One must also consider the messianic element present in both Zarathustra and Buddha. Some connecting interpretation can be made here: To quote Nietzsche,

> This man of the future. who will redeem us not only from the hitherto reigning ideal but also

from what was bound to grow out of it, from the great nausea, from the will to the nothing, from nihilism: this bell stroke of noon and of the great decision that liberates the will again and restores its goal to the earth and his hope to man; Antichrist and antinihilist. this conqueror of God and the nothing-he must come one day (Löwith, 1997, p. 57).

Should the redeemer 'come one day,' the way in which they relate to ordinary individuals be unprecedented. will Supermen or superhumans may appear in the world and then recede, leaving behind enduring principle of hope—the will to power to overcome. This hope is continually challenged by the ever-changing nature of both the subject and the world. Nonetheless, there is reason for Zarathustra optimism. ultimately recognizes the necessity of internalizing both flexibility and necessity. Karl Löwith insightfully observes that, for Nietzsche, "peak and abyss become one," since the highest mountains rise from the deepest seas (Löwith, 1997, p. 58). In the similar manner, dhamma and vinaya, and also in Nietzsche's works, permanence may be a profound but a contestable principle. redemption process is shifted back by coming to terms with the given empirical world. There is some confusion about the idea of eternal recurrence - which has the danger of recurrence of desired and undesirable things. When spirit the Dionysian takes rebirth, then it has to follow the principle of negation of all previous hindrances to human life, i.e., the lure to nihilism and nothingness. Yet, there is a promise here. The urge for selfeternalization (obsession transcendence eternity, etc) meets its opposite, the immanent human life. This can be the overlapping point. The opposites are the cosmic and the anthropological (which have a

causal relationship in Hindu philosophical Vedantic traditions).

Thomas Henry Huxley, the bulldog of Darwin, in his work, Evolution and Ethics, finds this separation in a fantastic manner. He deserves a mention for many reasons. Huxley makes a very deep observation of what a person deserves when s/he is born and with what kind of entailments. The karmic the principal concern unfathomable injustice into the nature of things (that involves the destruction of blameless), which looks beyond or contra to cosmic fragrance. It interesting is how this observation can be tied to both Buddhist and Nietzschean perspectives. Huxley about karma, the passing from life to life not only through parentage, but also through acts. Huxley expresses his dissent with that aspect of philosophy (Hindu) that supposed the existence of a permanent substance and reality

'beneath the shifting series of phenomenal reality. He refers to the Buddhist exposure of involved annihilation merging the individual existence into the unconditioned in Brahma atman Inclining to the latter, he talks about the phantasmagorioas of life. which Buddhism and Nietzsche call the *now* aspect of human life. It certainly affects our moral point of view In doing so, on existence. Huxley sounds like a Buddhist¹ - rather than treating apparent reality as illusory, or inscribing that there is nothing good or bad, it is better to destroy the fountain of desire. Though Nietzsche treats the latter as succumbing to the abyss of (equating nihilism it with nothingness), his Zarathustra dismantles the obsession with reality itself. In the whole universe nothing is permanent, neither substance, mind nor matter. Huxley makes a striking

remark here - because of this, the self does not exist, which he states as 'personality is just metaphysical fancy'. To Huxley, Buddha had a better argument for the transmigration - when atman or brahma are abandoned, to put an end to all dreaming. Huxley would come to the defense of Buddha against the Nietzschean allegation of ascetism in Buddhism along with other Indian Philosophical traditions. Stephen Batchelor glorifies the now aspect of Buddhist thought. He writes,

> The Buddha woke up to the nature of the human dilemma and a way to its resolution. The first two truths (anguish and its origins) describe the dilemma, the second two (cessation and the path) its resolution. He awoke to a set of interrelated truths rooted in immediacy of experience here and now (Batchelor, 1997, p. 6).

1 we have to keep in mind that Huxley lived in a period of Europe that Nietzsche looks with lot contempt and disregard

The four ennobling truths are not propositions to believe but challenges to act - these challenges may be ground-shaking for Nietzsche's superman either.

The Meeting Point

Non-transcendence. nonphysicality and godlessness are the conditions that the new redeemer is faced with. It is the superhuman is like the within human depending upon who resents against all that is decadent and prone to unwarranted suffering. The arrived impacts the yet-to-arrive - to change the human condition under the determinate principle of 'overcome.' It is pertinent for us to understand the depth 'change' sought through 'redemption', yet maintain the human life. Keeping Buddha and Nietzsche in light, we can ask a question -'where lies the redemptory source? Individual?

Society? epoch? an The enigmatic element is whether it is a 'privateprinciple' or 'doctrinal' in Buddha nature. Nietzsche can be treated as ones deeply perturbed by the ills that cloud our ways of life. To overcome them, we have two ways - [1] here we against all stand (weakness of the will), raise with above. shining radiance, taking an oath not to subject us to all those loathsome qualities that once again bring us back to the condition of Last man (untermensch). [2] Under the reconciliation that we, the humans, are prone to both precedented and unprecedented life, search for the relief from (by being a *non-escapist*) that empowers us to tackle the vagaries of samsara - that reels under principle the ever of changeability. What Nietzsche misses or overlooks and lies in **Buddhist** abundance is

philosophy is the way of 'life for the ordinary' - to be interpreted as even in the ordinary (can) lie(s) sacred. This makes Buddha Nietzschean Overman.

References

- Abelsen. P. (1993).Schopenhauer and Buddhism. Philosophy East West. 43(2), 255. https://doi.org/10.2307/1399616
- Batchelor, S. (1997). Buddhism without Beliefs: A Contemporary Guide to Awakening. Riverhead Books (Hardcover).
- Bilimoria. Ρ. (2008)."Nietzsche 'Europe's as and 'Asia's Buddha' Superman." Sophia 47 (3): 359-76. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11841-008-0079-y.
- Kopf, G. (2010). Review of Michael Skowron. Nietzsche. Buddhism. Zarathustra: Eine West-Ost Konfiguration (Daegu: Kyungpook **National** University Press. 2006), Philosophy East and West 60 (4), 560-564.

- Panaïoti. Antoine. (2012).**Buddhist** Nietzsche and Philosophy. https://doi.org/10.1017/cbo9781139 382144.
- Löwith, K. (1997). Nietzsche's philosophy of the eternal recurrence of the same. In University of California Press eBooks. https://doi.org/10.1525/9780520353
- Mistry, Loy, D. R. (1998). Review of Robert Morrison. Nietzsche and Buddhism: Α Study Nihilism and Ironic **Affinities** in Asian Philosophy 8 (2), 129-131.
- Mistry, F. (1981). Nietzsche and Buddhism: Prolegomenon to Comparative Study. Walter de Gruyter.
- Nietzsche, F. (1999). The Birth of Tragedy and other Writings (Cambridge Texts the in History of Philosophy), ed. by Raymond Gues & Roald Cambridge Spiers, **University Press**
- (2006).Reginster, В. The Affirmation Life: of Nietzsche On Overcoming Nihilism. Harvard **University Press**

Sautet, M., Roussignac, P., & Griffin, R. (1990). Nietzsche beginners. https://link.springer.com/content/pdf/10.1007/BF02772298.pdf Warburton, N. (2011). A little history of philosophy. Yale University Press.

