

Exploring Professional Development Needs for Educators in Special Education

Zohreh. Aghajari¹, Parvaneh. Heydari^{2*}

¹ Department of Psychology and Counseling, Farhangian University, Tehran, Iran

² Master of Educational Sciences, Sanandaj Branch, Islamic Azad University, Sanandaj, Iran

* Corresponding author email address: Paripishtaz49@yahoo.com

Article Info

Article type:

Original Research

How to cite this article:

Aghajari, Z., & Heydari, P. (2024). Exploring Professional Development Needs for Educators in Special Education. *Psychological Research in Individuals with Exceptional Needs*, 2(1), 4-12.
<https://doi.org/10.61838/kman.prien.2.1.2>



© 2024 the authors. Published by KMAN Publication Inc. (KMANPUB), Ontario, Canada. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International (CC BY-NC 4.0) License.

ABSTRACT

The objective of this study was to explore the professional development needs of educators in special education. This qualitative research employed a phenomenological approach to understand the lived experiences of 17 special education teachers. Participants were selected based on their diverse experiences and willingness to participate. Data were collected through semi-structured interviews, lasting between 45 to 60 minutes, either face-to-face or via video conferencing. The interviews were transcribed verbatim and analyzed using thematic analysis to identify key themes and subthemes related to the professional development needs of special education teachers. The data collection continued until theoretical saturation was reached. The study identified four main themes regarding professional development needs: Teaching Strategies, Emotional and Social Support, Professional Knowledge, and Collaboration and Advocacy. Under Teaching Strategies, educators highlighted the importance of individualized instruction, effective classroom management, differentiated learning approaches, technology integration, and collaboration with support staff. Emotional and Social Support needs included social skills training, emotional regulation, building relationships, fostering inclusion, crisis intervention, family engagement, and self-care for educators. Professional Knowledge emphasized understanding special education law and policy, disability awareness, evidence-based practices, assessment techniques, ongoing professional development, and curriculum adaptation. Collaboration and Advocacy focused on interdisciplinary teams, student advocacy, community partnerships, professional learning communities, and policy development. The findings underscore the multifaceted professional development needs of special education teachers, highlighting the necessity for tailored training programs that address specific instructional, emotional, social, and collaborative aspects.

Keywords: Special education, professional development, individualized instruction, emotional support, collaboration, teaching strategies.

1. Introduction

Special education teachers are tasked with addressing the diverse needs of students with disabilities, often requiring specialized skills, strategies, and continuous professional development (Khairuddin et al., 2016; Martinussen et al., 2010; Michaels & McDermott, 2003; Min et al., 2020; Palacios et al., 2022; Rock et al., 2016; Stempien & Loeb, 2002; Yu et al., 2011). Despite the critical importance of their role, special education teachers frequently encounter unique challenges that demand targeted professional development to enhance their effectiveness and job satisfaction (Berry et al., 2011; Courtade & Ludlow, 2008).

Special education teachers face numerous obstacles, ranging from the complexity of individual education plans (IEPs) to the integration of assistive technology and the collaboration with various stakeholders, including general educators, parents, and specialists. Beck and DeSutter (2019) highlighted the challenges group facilitators encounter during IEP team meetings, including coordinating multiple perspectives and problem-solving effectively. This underscores the need for comprehensive professional development that equips educators with the skills necessary to navigate these complexities (Beck & DeSutter, 2019).

Professional development for special education teachers is not just about enhancing teaching skills; it also involves understanding legal and ethical responsibilities, managing diverse classroom behaviors, and implementing evidence-based instructional strategies. Leko and Brownell (2009) emphasized the importance of crafting quality professional development tailored to the specific needs of special educators, which includes understanding the latest research, instructional strategies, and legal requirements (Leko & Brownell, 2009).

One of the critical issues in special education is the recruitment and retention of qualified teachers, especially in rural areas. Berry et al. (2011) pointed out that rural special education teachers face unique challenges that exacerbate the difficulties of recruitment and retention. These include isolation, limited access to professional development opportunities, and a lack of resources. Addressing these issues requires targeted interventions and support systems that consider the unique context of rural education settings (Berry et al., 2011).

Teacher preparedness and self-efficacy are vital for the successful implementation of special education programs. Cantimer et al. (2017) explored the professional self-efficacy

perceptions of special education teachers, highlighting the need for ongoing professional development to bolster teachers' confidence and competence in handling diverse classroom scenarios (Cantimer et al., 2017). Similarly, Hughes et al. (2016) assessed the professional development needs of teachers in Northern Malawi, underscoring the global relevance of these challenges and the necessity for context-specific solutions (Hughes et al., 2016).

Effective special education also relies on strong collaboration between various educational professionals. Pettersson and Ström (2019) discussed the importance of professional collaboration between class teachers and special educators, particularly in rural schools where resources and support may be limited. This collaboration ensures a holistic approach to education, benefiting all students, particularly those with special needs (Pettersson & Ström, 2019).

Courtade and Ludlow (2008) highlighted the ethical issues involved in programming for students with severe disabilities, which also extends to the preparation of teachers (Courtade & Ludlow, 2008). Special education teachers must be equipped with the knowledge and skills to make ethically sound decisions that prioritize the well-being and educational success of their students. Understanding and complying with special education laws and policies is critical for this role (Beck & DeSutter, 2019).

The integration of technology in special education has become increasingly important. Judge and Simms (2009) discussed the significance of assistive technology training at the pre-service level, indicating that early exposure and training can better prepare teachers for the technological demands of modern special education classrooms (Judge & Simms, 2009). Furthermore, Rueda and Batanero (2022) examined the digital competence of special education teachers, highlighting both the challenges and opportunities presented by digital tools in enhancing educational outcomes for students with disabilities (Rueda & Batanero, 2022).

Behavioral management is a perennial challenge in special education. Martinussen et al. (2010) explored teachers' use of instructional and behavior management practices for students with behavioral problems, emphasizing the relationship between training and effective classroom management. Similarly, Rock et al. (2016) identified key change drivers in the 21st century that impact teacher education and special education, including the need for adaptive and responsive behavior management strategies (Beck & DeSutter, 2019).

The issues faced by special education teachers are not confined to any single region; they are global. Chitiyo and Dzenga (2021) provided insights into special and inclusive education in Southern Africa, highlighting the regional variations in challenges and the universal need for professional development. Yu et al. (2011) discussed the issues of teacher education and inclusion in China, further illustrating the global dimension of special education and the diverse contexts in which educators operate.

Mentorship and coaching have been identified as effective strategies for supporting special education teachers. Gürgür (2017) analyzed the coaching-based professional development process, demonstrating its impact on teacher performance and student outcomes (Chitiyo & Dzenga, 2021). Walker et al. (2019) also examined teacher-delivered training to promote paraprofessional implementation of systematic instruction, indicating the benefits of mentorship in building capacity and expertise (Walker et al., 2019).

Special education teachers often require specialized training to address specific needs within their classrooms. Michaels and McDermott (2003) discussed the integration of assistive technology in special education teacher preparation, while Gerencser et al. (2018) evaluated interactive computerized training for paraprofessionals (Gerencser et al., 2018). These studies highlight the diverse methods and tools available to enhance the skills of special education professionals.

The professional development needs of special education teachers are multifaceted and critical for the effective education of students with disabilities. Addressing these needs requires a comprehensive approach that includes tailored professional development programs, collaboration between educational professionals, and ongoing support and mentorship. The objective of this study was to explore the professional development needs of educators in special education. The research aimed to identify specific areas where special education teachers require further training and support to enhance their effectiveness in teaching and managing diverse student needs, as well as to improve their overall job satisfaction.

2. Methods and Materials

2.1. Study Design and Participants

This qualitative research employed a phenomenological approach to explore the professional development needs of educators in special education. The study aimed to gain an

in-depth understanding of the lived experiences and perceptions of special education teachers regarding their professional development. The research was conducted in a purposive sample of 20 educators currently working in various special education settings, including public and private schools, specialized institutions, and inclusive education programs.

The participants were selected based on their willingness to participate and their diverse experiences in the field of special education. The inclusion criteria required participants to have at least three years of experience in special education and to be actively involved in the development and implementation of educational programs for students with special needs. This selection process ensured a rich and varied dataset that would provide comprehensive insights into the professional development needs of this group.

2.2. Measure

2.2.1. Semi-Structured Interview

Data were collected through semi-structured interviews, which provided a flexible yet focused approach to gather detailed and nuanced information from the participants. The interview guide was developed based on existing literature on professional development in special education and included open-ended questions designed to elicit in-depth responses about participants' experiences, challenges, and needs regarding their professional growth.

Each interview lasted between 45 to 60 minutes and was conducted either face-to-face or via video conferencing, depending on the participants' preferences and availability. All interviews were audio-recorded with the participants' consent to ensure accuracy in data collection and were later transcribed verbatim for analysis. The interview process continued until theoretical saturation was reached, meaning no new themes or insights were emerging from the data, indicating that the data collection was sufficient to address the research questions comprehensively.

2.3. Data Analysis

The data analysis followed a thematic analysis approach, which involved several steps to ensure a systematic and thorough examination of the data. First, the transcribed interviews were read multiple times to gain a deep understanding of the content. Initial codes were then generated to identify significant statements and patterns

related to the professional development needs of special education educators.

These codes were subsequently grouped into broader themes that captured the essence of the participants' experiences and perspectives. The themes were reviewed and refined to ensure they accurately represented the data and were relevant to the research questions. Throughout the analysis process, the researcher maintained a reflective journal to document thoughts, decisions, and potential biases, which helped enhance the rigor and trustworthiness of the study.

To ensure credibility, member checking was conducted by sharing the preliminary findings with a subset of participants to verify the accuracy and resonance of the themes with their experiences. Additionally, peer debriefing sessions were held with colleagues experienced in qualitative research and special education to discuss and validate the findings.

3. Findings and Results

The study included 17 special education educators with diverse backgrounds and experiences. The participants' teaching experience ranged from 3 to 25 years, with a mean of 10 years. The sample comprised 12 females (70.6%) and 5 males (29.4%), reflecting the gender distribution commonly found in the field of special education. Participants were employed in various educational settings, including public schools (n=8, 47.1%), private schools (n=5, 29.4%), specialized institutions (n=3, 17.6%), and inclusive education programs (n=1, 5.9%). The educators taught students with a range of disabilities, including autism spectrum disorder (ASD) (n=6, 35.3%), learning disabilities (LD) (n=5, 29.4%), intellectual disabilities (ID) (n=4, 23.5%), and emotional and behavioral disorders (EBD) (n=2, 11.8%).

Table 1

The Results of Qualitative Analysis

Categories	Subcategories	Concepts
1. Teaching Strategies	1.1 Individualized Instruction	Tailoring lessons, adapting materials, one-on-one support
	1.2 Classroom Management	Behavior plans, structured routines, positive reinforcement
	1.3 Differentiated Learning	Varied instructional methods, multiple learning styles, flexible grouping
	1.4 Technology Integration	Assistive technology, educational software, digital resources
	1.5 Collaboration with Support Staff	Working with aides, consulting specialists, co-teaching strategies
2. Emotional and Social Support	2.1 Social Skills Training	Peer interaction, role-playing scenarios, social stories
	2.2 Emotional Regulation	Coping strategies, emotional awareness, self-regulation techniques
	2.3 Building Relationships	Trust-building activities, consistent communication, empathy development
	2.4 Inclusion and Belonging	Inclusive activities, sense of community, peer support systems
	2.5 Crisis Intervention	De-escalation techniques, emergency protocols, support during emotional outbursts
	2.6 Family Engagement	Parent-teacher conferences, home-school communication, family support resources
3. Professional Knowledge	2.7 Self-care for Educators	Stress management, professional boundaries, wellness programs
	3.1 Special Education Law and Policy	IEP development, compliance, rights of students and parents
	3.2 Disability Awareness	Types of disabilities, characteristics, educational implications
	3.3 Evidence-based Practices	Research-based methods, instructional strategies, proven interventions
	3.4 Assessment and Evaluation	Diagnostic tools, progress monitoring, formative and summative assessments
	3.5 Ongoing Professional Development	Workshops, conferences, continuous learning opportunities
4. Collaboration and Advocacy	3.6 Curriculum Adaptation	Modifying curriculum, accessibility features, personalized learning plans
	4.1 Interdisciplinary Teams	Working with therapists, integrating services, team meetings
	4.2 Advocacy for Students	Policy advocacy, resource allocation, promoting inclusive practices
	4.3 Community Partnerships	Engaging community resources, building networks, external collaborations
	4.4 Professional Learning Communities	Peer support groups, sharing best practices, mentorship programs
	4.5 Policy Development	Influencing educational policies, advocacy for systemic change, stakeholder engagement

3.1. Teaching Strategies

Individualized Instruction: Educators emphasized the necessity of tailoring lessons to meet the unique needs of each student. Concepts such as tailoring lessons, adapting materials, and providing one-on-one support were highlighted. One teacher remarked, "Every student is different; I need to adapt my lessons and materials constantly to ensure everyone is learning."

Classroom Management: Effective classroom management emerged as a critical area for professional development. Participants discussed behavior plans, structured routines, and the use of positive reinforcement. As one educator stated, "A structured routine and clear behavior plans help create a stable learning environment for my students."

Differentiated Learning: There was a strong need for strategies to accommodate various learning styles and abilities. Varied instructional methods, multiple learning styles, and flexible grouping were commonly mentioned. "I use a variety of instructional methods to reach all my students," noted one participant.

Technology Integration: Integrating technology effectively in the classroom was another significant theme. Educators discussed the importance of using assistive technology, educational software, and other digital resources. "Assistive technology has been a game-changer in my classroom," shared a teacher.

Collaboration with Support Staff: Collaborating with aides and specialists was seen as essential for effective special education. Teachers talked about working with aides, consulting specialists, and co-teaching strategies. One educator mentioned, "Collaboration with support staff ensures that we are all on the same page and can provide the best support for our students."

3.2. Emotional and Social Support

Social Skills Training: Developing social skills in students was a key concern. Concepts included peer interaction, role-playing scenarios, and using social stories. "Teaching social skills through role-playing helps students understand how to interact with their peers," said one teacher.

Emotional Regulation: Educators highlighted the importance of helping students manage their emotions. Coping strategies, emotional awareness, and self-regulation techniques were frequently mentioned. One participant

shared, "Helping students learn coping strategies for emotional regulation is crucial for their success."

Building Relationships: Building strong, trusting relationships with students was seen as fundamental. Trust-building activities, consistent communication, and empathy development were key concepts. "A strong relationship with my students is the foundation of everything else," emphasized an educator.

Inclusion and Belonging: Promoting a sense of inclusion and belonging in the classroom was another significant theme. Inclusive activities, creating a sense of community, and peer support systems were discussed. "Creating an inclusive environment where every student feels they belong is essential," noted a teacher.

Crisis Intervention: Educators expressed the need for skills in crisis intervention. De-escalation techniques, emergency protocols, and support during emotional outbursts were key concepts. One teacher stated, "Knowing how to de-escalate situations quickly is critical in my role."

Family Engagement: Engaging families in the educational process was also highlighted. Parent-teacher conferences, home-school communication, and family support resources were frequently mentioned. "Building strong relationships with families is crucial for student success," shared an educator.

Self-care for Educators: The need for self-care among educators was a recurrent theme. Concepts included stress management, professional boundaries, and wellness programs. "Taking care of myself is important so I can be there for my students," one teacher remarked.

3.3. Professional Knowledge

Special Education Law and Policy: Understanding special education law and policy was seen as vital. Concepts included IEP development, compliance, and the rights of students and parents. "Knowing the legal aspects of special education helps me advocate for my students' rights," said one educator.

Disability Awareness: Educators emphasized the importance of awareness of different disabilities. Concepts discussed included types of disabilities, characteristics, and educational implications. "Being aware of the specific needs associated with different disabilities helps me tailor my teaching," noted a participant.

Evidence-based Practices: The use of evidence-based practices was highlighted as crucial for effective teaching. Research-based methods, instructional strategies, and

proven interventions were key concepts. "Implementing evidence-based practices ensures I'm using the best methods for my students," shared an educator.

Assessment and Evaluation: Effective assessment and evaluation techniques were another significant area. Concepts included diagnostic tools, progress monitoring, and formative and summative assessments. One teacher mentioned, "Regular assessment and evaluation help me track my students' progress and adjust my teaching accordingly."

Ongoing Professional Development: The need for continuous learning and professional development was emphasized. Workshops, conferences, and continuous learning opportunities were commonly mentioned. "Attending workshops and conferences keeps me updated with the latest in special education," said an educator.

Curriculum Adaptation: Adapting the curriculum to meet the needs of special education students was another key theme. Concepts included modifying curriculum, accessibility features, and personalized learning plans. "Adapting the curriculum ensures that all my students can access and engage with the material," noted a teacher.

3.4. *Collaboration and Advocacy*

Interdisciplinary Teams: Working with interdisciplinary teams was seen as essential for comprehensive support. Concepts included working with therapists, integrating services, and holding team meetings. "Collaborating with therapists and other specialists helps provide a well-rounded support system for my students," shared an educator.

Advocacy for Students: Advocacy for the needs and rights of special education students was a significant theme. Policy advocacy, resource allocation, and promoting inclusive practices were key concepts. One teacher stated, "Advocating for my students ensures they get the resources and support they need."

Community Partnerships: Building partnerships with community resources was highlighted as beneficial. Concepts included engaging community resources, building networks, and external collaborations. "Community partnerships provide additional support and resources for my students," noted an educator.

Professional Learning Communities: Participation in professional learning communities was seen as valuable. Concepts included peer support groups, sharing best practices, and mentorship programs. "Being part of a

professional learning community helps me learn from my peers and stay motivated," shared one teacher.

Policy Development: Involvement in policy development was discussed as important for systemic change. Concepts included influencing educational policies, advocacy for systemic change, and stakeholder engagement. "Being involved in policy development allows me to advocate for changes that benefit my students and colleagues," said an educator.

4. **Discussion and Conclusion**

The findings of this study highlight several key professional development needs for educators in special education, categorized into four main themes: Teaching Strategies, Emotional and Social Support, Professional Knowledge, and Collaboration and Advocacy. Each category revealed critical areas where special education teachers require further training and support.

In the realm of Teaching Strategies, the need for individualized instruction, effective classroom management, differentiated learning approaches, technology integration, and collaboration with support staff emerged as vital. Educators stressed the importance of tailoring lessons and adapting materials to meet the diverse needs of students with disabilities, as well as maintaining structured routines and utilizing positive reinforcement to manage classroom behavior effectively. These findings align with previous research by Beck and DeSutter (2019), who underscored the complexities of coordinating multiple perspectives during IEP team meetings, necessitating robust problem-solving skills and collaborative strategies (Beck & DeSutter, 2019).

Regarding Emotional and Social Support, teachers highlighted the importance of social skills training, emotional regulation, building strong relationships, fostering inclusion and belonging, crisis intervention, family engagement, and self-care for educators. Participants emphasized the need for professional development that equips them with strategies to promote social and emotional development in students, manage crises, and engage families effectively. These findings are consistent with the work of Courtade and Ludlow (2008), who discussed the ethical considerations and the need for comprehensive programming for students with severe disabilities, including emotional and social support (Courtade & Ludlow, 2008).

In terms of Professional Knowledge, educators identified the need for a deep understanding of special education law and policy, disability awareness, evidence-based practices,

assessment and evaluation techniques, ongoing professional development opportunities, and curriculum adaptation. These areas are crucial for ensuring that educators are well-informed and capable of implementing effective instructional strategies. Leko and Brownell (2009) emphasized the importance of quality professional development tailored to the specific needs of special educators, reinforcing the significance of these findings (Leko & Brownell, 2009).

Lastly, the theme of Collaboration and Advocacy revealed the importance of interdisciplinary teams, advocacy for students, community partnerships, professional learning communities, and involvement in policy development. Teachers expressed the need for collaboration with various educational professionals to provide holistic support for students with disabilities. Pettersson and Ström (2019) highlighted the importance of professional collaboration between class teachers and special educators, particularly in rural schools, supporting the findings of this study (Pettersson & Ström, 2019).

The results of this study are indicative of the multifaceted nature of special education and the diverse professional development needs of educators in this field. The emphasis on individualized instruction and differentiated learning strategies reflects the growing recognition of the importance of personalized education plans that cater to the unique needs of each student. This finding aligns with Berry et al. (2011), who discussed the challenges of recruiting and retaining special education teachers, particularly in rural areas, where the need for tailored educational strategies is even more pronounced (Berry et al., 2011).

The focus on emotional and social support underscores the holistic approach required in special education. Teachers must not only address academic needs but also support the emotional and social development of their students. This comprehensive approach is critical for fostering an inclusive and supportive learning environment. The findings of this study are consistent with the work of Hughes et al. (2016), who assessed the professional development needs of teachers in Northern Malawi, highlighting the importance of emotional and social support in special education (Hughes et al., 2016).

The identified need for professional knowledge in areas such as special education law, disability awareness, and evidence-based practices highlights the complexity of the special education landscape. Educators must navigate a myriad of regulations and best practices to provide effective instruction and advocacy for their students. This is supported

by the findings of Beck and DeSutter (2019), who emphasized the challenges of facilitating IEP team meetings and the need for a thorough understanding of special education laws and policies (Beck & DeSutter, 2019).

The importance of collaboration and advocacy reflects the interconnected nature of special education, where educators must work closely with other professionals, families, and community members to support their students effectively. The findings align with Pettersson and Ström (2019), who discussed the significance of professional collaboration in rural schools, and Rock et al. (2016), who identified key change drivers in teacher education and special education, including the need for adaptive and responsive collaboration strategies.

This study, while comprehensive, has several limitations that must be acknowledged. Firstly, the sample size was limited to 17 participants, which may not fully capture the diversity of experiences and needs among special education teachers. Additionally, the study was conducted in a specific geographical region, which may limit the generalizability of the findings to other contexts. The use of semi-structured interviews, while providing in-depth insights, may also introduce a degree of subjectivity, as responses are influenced by the participants' personal experiences and perceptions.

Future research should aim to address these limitations by expanding the sample size and including participants from diverse geographical and cultural backgrounds. This would provide a more comprehensive understanding of the professional development needs of special education teachers across different contexts. Longitudinal studies could also be conducted to examine the long-term impact of professional development programs on teacher effectiveness and student outcomes. Additionally, quantitative research methods, such as surveys, could be used to complement qualitative findings and provide a broader perspective on the needs of special education teachers.

Based on the findings of this study, several practical recommendations can be made to enhance the professional development of special education teachers. Educational institutions should prioritize the development and implementation of tailored professional development programs that address the specific needs identified in this study. This includes training on individualized instruction, classroom management, emotional and social support, and collaboration strategies. Schools should also foster a culture of continuous learning by providing ongoing professional development opportunities and encouraging participation in

professional learning communities. Finally, educational policymakers should advocate for increased funding and resources to support the professional development of special education teachers, ensuring they have the tools and knowledge necessary to provide high-quality education to students with disabilities.

In conclusion, this study underscores the critical importance of targeted professional development for special education teachers. By addressing the specific needs identified in this research, educational institutions and policymakers can enhance the effectiveness of special education teachers, ultimately leading to better educational outcomes for students with disabilities.

Authors' Contributions

Authors contributed equally to this article.

Declaration

In order to correct and improve the academic writing of our paper, we have used the language model ChatGPT.

Transparency Statement

Data are available for research purposes upon reasonable request to the corresponding author.

Acknowledgments

We would like to express our gratitude to all individuals helped us to do the project.

Declaration of Interest

The authors report no conflict of interest.

Funding

According to the authors, this article has no financial support.

Ethics Considerations

The study protocol adhered to the principles outlined in the Helsinki Declaration, which provides guidelines for ethical research involving human participants.

References

Beck, S. J., & DeSutter, K. (2019). An Examination of Group Facilitator Challenges and Problem-Solving Techniques During IEP Team Meetings. *Teacher Education and Special*

Education the Journal of the Teacher Education Division of the Council for Exceptional Children, 43(2), 127-143. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0888406419839766>

Berry, A. B., Petrin, R. A., Gravelle, M., & Farmer, T. W. (2011). Issues in Special Education Teacher Recruitment, Retention, and Professional Development: Considerations in Supporting Rural Teachers. *Rural Special Education Quarterly*, 30(4), 3-11. <https://doi.org/10.1177/875687051103000402>

Cantimer, G. G., Şengül, S., & Akçin, N. (2017). Exploring the Professional Self-Efficacy Perceptions of the Teachers of Children in Need of Special Education in Terms of Various Variables<p>>Özel Eğitim Gereksinimli Çocukların Öğretmenlerinin Mesleki Özyeterlilik Algılarının Çeşitli Değişkenlere Göre İncelenmesi. *Journal of Human Sciences*, 14(1), 694. <https://doi.org/10.14687/jhs.v14i1.3995>

Chitiyo, A., & Dzenga, C. (2021). Special and Inclusive Education in Southern Africa. *Journal of Special Education Preparation*, 1(1), 55-66. <https://doi.org/10.33043/josep.1.1.55-66>

Courtade, G. R., & Ludlow, B. L. (2008). Ethical Issues and Severe Disabilities: Programming for Students and Preparation for Teachers. *Rural Special Education Quarterly*, 27(1-2), 36-42. <https://doi.org/10.1177/8756870508027001-207>

Gerencser, K. R., Higbee, T. S., Contreras, B. P., Pellegrino, A. J., & Gunn, S. L. (2018). Evaluation of Interactive Computerized Training to Teach Paraprofessionals to Implement Errorless Discrete Trial Instruction. *Journal of Behavioral Education*, 27(4), 461-487. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10864-018-9308-9>

Hughes, E. M., Chitiyo, M., Itimu-Phiri, A., & Montgomery, K. M. (2016). Assessing the Special Education Professional Development Needs of Northern Malawian Schoolteachers. *British Journal of Special Education*, 43(2), 159-177. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8578.12128>

Judge, S., & Simms, K. (2009). Assistive Technology Training at the Pre-Service Level. *Teacher Education and Special Education the Journal of the Teacher Education Division of the Council for Exceptional Children*, 32(1), 33-44. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0888406408330868>

Khairuddin, K. F., Dally, K., & Foggett, J. (2016). Collaboration Between General and Special Education Teachers in Malaysia. *Journal of Research in Special Educational Needs*, 16(S1), 909-913. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1474-12802.12230>

Leko, M. M., & Brownell, M. T. (2009). Crafting Quality Professional Development for Special Educators. *TEACHING Exceptional Children*, 42(1), 64-70. <https://doi.org/10.1177/004005990904200106>

Martinussen, R., Tannock, R., & Chaban, P. (2010). Teachers' Reported Use of Instructional and Behavior Management Practices for Students With Behavior Problems: Relationship to Role and Level of Training in ADHD. *Child & Youth Care Forum*, 40(3), 193-210. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10566-010-9130-6>

Michaels, C. A., & McDermott, J. M. (2003). Assistive Technology Integration in Special Education Teacher Preparation: Program Coordinators' Perceptions of Current Attainment and Importance. *Journal of Special Education Technology*, 18(3), 29-44. <https://doi.org/10.1177/016264340301800302>

Min, Z., Bai, Y., & Li, Z. (2020). Effect of Resilience on the Mental Health of Special Education Teachers: Moderating Effect of Teaching Barriers. *Psychology research and behavior management*, Volume 13, 537-544. <https://doi.org/10.2147/prbm.s257842>

Palacios, R., Larrazabal, S., & Monzalve, M. (2022). Evident Demands and Absent Changes: Special Education Teachers' Initial Training in Chile. *British Journal of Special Education*, 49(4), 628-647. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8578.12423>

- Pettersson, G., & Ström, K. (2019). Professional Collaboration Between Class Teachers and Special Educators in Swedish Rural Schools. *British Journal of Special Education*, 46(2), 180-200. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8578.12266>
- Rock, M. L., Spooner, F., Nagro, S. A., Vasquez, E., Dunn, C., Leko, M. M., Luckner, J. L., Bausch, M. E., Donehower, C., & Jones, J. L. (2016). 21st Century Change Drivers. *Teacher Education and Special Education the Journal of the Teacher Education Division of the Council for Exceptional Children*, 39(2), 98-120. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0888406416640634>
- Rueda, M. M., & Batanero, J. M. F. (2022). Digital Competence of Special Education Teachers: Impact, Challenges and Opportunities. *Australasian Journal of Special and Inclusive Education*, 46(2), 178-192. <https://doi.org/10.1017/jsi.2022.8>
- Stempien, L. R., & Loeb, R. C. (2002). Differences in Job Satisfaction Between General Education and Special Education Teachers. *Remedial and Special Education*, 23(5), 258-267. <https://doi.org/10.1177/07419325020230050101>
- Walker, V. L., Douglas, K. H., & Brewer, C. (2019). Teacher-Delivered Training to Promote Paraprofessional Implementation of Systematic Instruction. *Teacher Education and Special Education the Journal of the Teacher Education Division of the Council for Exceptional Children*, 43(3), 257-274. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0888406419869029>
- Yu, L., Su, X., & Liu, C. (2011). Issues of Teacher Education and Inclusion in China. *Prospects*, 41(3), 355-369. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11125-011-9204-8>

