

Comparative Analysis of Web Visibility using SEO Tools And Its Effect on Website Improvement

Hadis Shafaei^{a*}, Mohammad Taheri^b

^a:Department of Computer Engineering, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran; Shafaei_h@ymail.com

^b Department of Computer Sci & Eng, Shiraz university, Shiraz, Iran; mtaheri@cse.shirazu.ac.ir

ABSTRACT

The growing accessibility of web pages to internet users, coupled with the increasing reliance on search engines for daily tasks, underscores the rising importance of search engine optimization (SEO). This research aims to explore the impact of the web visibility index, a key SEO metric, and the utilization of SEO tools on the optimization of university websites. The novelty of this study lies in its examination of the specific influence of the web visibility index and other SEO metrics on university website optimization. Findings reveal significant enhancement in the web visibility index across most universities studied. A comparative analysis of the top 20 universities and their associated libraries sheds light on the factors contributing to increased web visibility. SEO tools and the SISTRIX Toolbox were employed for comparative purposes. Results indicate that the University of UOC boasts the highest web visibility index of 0.0276, while UNED, USE, and UV universities have a web visibility index of 0, indicating the lowest visibility.

Keywords— Search Engine Optimization, Web Visibility, Rank, Crawling, Indexing

1. Introduction

Due The web visibility index is a crucial SEO metric, pivotal for optimizing websites and attracting more visitors. Despite this significance, previous research in this field lacks a comprehensive overview. Particularly concerning the optimization of university websites and libraries, there is a dearth of studies, with no internal research conducted thus far. Consequently, findings from this current research can be extrapolated to top universities in Iran, given their global visibility.

A search engine is a software tool designed to collect data pertaining to website content to respond to search queries. This collected data includes website URLs, relevant keywords, website code structure, and embedded links. The gathered data is indexed and stored in a database. Specialized programs, such as crawlers, spiders, or robots, navigate through web pages periodically, storing any changes encountered during their latest exploration. This data is then stored in a vast database known as a search engine index through a process called

indexing. When users request information, their queries are directed to the search engine index, which retrieves and presents relevant documents.

Website design significantly influences search engine optimization. Once relevant pages are identified, they undergo ranking before being presented to users. Therefore, search engine optimization algorithms are pivotal, striving to showcase the most pertinent results to users. To summarize, the search robot gathers data for each URL and stores it in a database. When a user connects and submits a query to a search engine, documents are assessed for relevance, and the results are then provided to the user. Figure 1. shows the workflow of a search engine [1].

In section 1-1, search engine optimization and its types are discussed. Section 1-2 explains about backlinks, in section 1-3, the benefits of backlinks and its application in search engine optimization are enumerated. In Sections 1-4, URLs are explained, and in Sections 1-5, we present about web visibility index. Section 2 describes the literature review. In



<http://dx.doi.org/10.22133/ijwr.2024.445540.1204>

Citation H. Shafaei, M. Taheri, " Comparative Analysis of Web Visibility using SEO Tools And Its Effect on Website Improvement Development ", *International Journal of Web Research*, vol.7, no.1, pp.19-32, 2024, doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.22133/ijwr.2024.445540.1204>.

*Corresponding Author

Article History: Received: 15 November 2023 ; Revised: 6 January 2024; Accepted: 18 January 2024.

Copyright © 2022 University of Science and Culture. Published by University of Science and Culture. This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial 4.0 International license(<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>). Noncommercial uses of the work are permitted, provided the original work is properly cited.

section 3, the research method is described. Finally, in section 4, the research results are described.

1.1. Search engine optimization

Data or information about an unknown topic is usually found on the first page of search engines. After testing the top 5 pages of results, the remaining page is not evaluated by users. For this reason, it is important to move the web page to the top of the list of search engines in order to better introduce it. Having an effective, well-structured, good-looking web page is important for better introducing the related company's products or services. It is also important to search and easily find relevant web pages on a search engine. In addition, 80% of Internet users search for products and services using most search engines (interactive and advertising), which is the most effective and efficient way to introduce and market a company using a web page. In order to achieve this, information related to the company is obtained using search engines. Within a competitive business environment, moving to the top of the list is achieved by using website optimization. So, search engine optimization is able to make a website appear at the top of a search engine results list for a number of keywords. There are many different factors that a website is able to transmit the results to the top of the list. The most effective way to get the attention of many users is search engine optimization. Search engine optimization is an effective way to increase website visibility in search engine search results and because the keywords are appropriate to the website and can be used to search in search engines, search engine optimization is based on keywords. In order to optimize a website according to search engines, it must be adapted using some technical conditions. Figure 2. shows a type of search engine optimization process to improve the website [1].

Searching in a search engine requires three types of SEO (Figure 3.):




Figure 1. Search engine workflow

On-page SEO: It is related to the content of the website and includes activities to improve the ranking of a website. Hence, it includes the following factors (Figure 4):

- Research on keywords
- Title tag
- Description meta tag
- Robot.txt
- URL optimization
- Content
- Header tags
- Keyword placement
- Internal linking

Technical SEO: There are strategies to improve the structure of the site. Using this type of optimization improves the readability of the site and website crawling is facilitated for search engines.

Off-Page SEO: Includes members who are not under the direct control of the developer. Mostly off-website ads, backlinks, social media ads etc. Some off-page SEO factors are as follows (Figure 5):



Figure 2. search engine optimization's process [1]




Figure 3. Types of SEO




Figure. 4. Category of search engine optimization on page



Figure. 5. Off-page search engine optimization

- Sharing
- Blog comments
- Star ranking
- Link building

Keywords are words and phrases that users use when searching for information and online content. They also provide ideas and topics about what the web content is about. A search keyword is a word or combination of words entered by users in a search engine [2]. The main purpose of this research is to use SEO tools to optimize university websites. Therefore, to achieve this goal, SEO metrics and specifically the visibility index were investigated.

1.2. Backlinks

Backlinks are also known as inbound links and incoming links. Links from one site to a page on another site are called backlinks. Search engines consider backlinks as a score for a page of the site. The more backlinks a page receives, the higher scores it will get from search engines.

1.3. Benefits of Backlinks in Search Engine Optimization

Backlinks help improve a website's ranking when it is shown in search engine results. If any of the content or pages of the website get links from other sites normally (not by agreement or for a fee), these contents or pages will rank higher in search engine results. Backlinks help search engine robots to find links given to the website more quickly and crawl effectively the website.

1.4. Uniform Resource Locator (URL)

A coordinated and integrated way to locate uniform resources in the internet space, and it is used to retrieve the page that is known as the protocol. A URL includes a domain name, directory name, and file name.

1.5. Visibility Index

The web visibility index is the amount of visibility of a domain that appears in Google results. Utilizing of the visibility index will clearly and reliably display superiority on the search engine results page (SERP).

2. Literature review

The focus of this research is on evaluating the SEO criterion as an MCDM problem, which is different priority levels and criteria values from a set of hesitant fuzzy linguistic term sets to facilitate the elicitation of information in hesitant situations [3]. To manage tourist websites, it is important to understand the keywords in the minds of researchers. Optimization tools such as Alexa, SimilarWeb, SEMRUSH, and MOZ help sales managers build the visibility of tourist websites among researchers. This research is useful for managing websites and finding keywords used by foreign tourists. The ranking method will act as a guide for future research on ranking websites [4]. In [5], describes how search engine technology can be used in online public access catalogs (OPACs) to identify users' interests. It also specifies how to use it in the library text and how to apply the sophisticated ranking metric in the online library catalog [5]. In [6], the purpose is to test the phenomenon of search engine optimization as a mechanism to improve the digital content of libraries on the web. The results show that the characteristics of the main website effect how libraries' websites are ranked by search engines. This research has identified several website features that can be optimized for ranking search engine results pages (SERPs) [6]. In [7], investigates the value concept of web observation and company heterogeneity and analyzes the process of creation of corporate web visibility value using its mapping in company web performance and then business

performance. It is identified with a comprehensive sample of 2840 American companies that web visibility significantly determines the firm's web traffic and improves the company's short-term and long-term performance [7]. In [8], is on the importance of search engines and search engine optimization (SEO). In particular, the certain focus is on the importance of time, speed, reduced bounce rate, page visits, and page layout in keeping the user on the site. Finally, SEO factors on an examination project are considered and the positive impact of these factors on websites is explained in more detail [8]. In [9], provides a mathematical model of linear programming to optimize the results of a ranking list of a given group of web search engines for a query topic. An application with a numerical tool demonstrates the advantages of the proposed method. The application demonstrates the advantage of the proposed method in finding the optimal weights over the pre-assigned weights for ranking search engine result documents. [9]. In [10], provides a webometric ranking of universities using the WR Combined Index, which is the number of published pages (S) (twenty-five percent), the number of rich files, which are in PDF, PS, doc and ppt (R) format (12.5 percent), the number of articles from the database Google Scholar (12.5%) and the total number of external inlinks (V) (50%) is collected. This type of ranking using the web index should be used as a measure of the efficiency of universities compared to most academic indicators [10]. In [11], presents a standard innovation for libraries to be used by users at the level of their paid database through the open web using linked and structured data methods. The results of the open SESMO case study have an obvious advantage for library databases and resources in SERPs. The results show that optimization and linked data and structured data are effective in discovery on search engines [11]. In [12], the level of metadata quality in Google Scholar (IGS rate) is related to the coverage of four types of documents (thesis, articles, books, and conferences) in the repositories of Peruvian universities. This research is a descriptive and cross-sectional study with non-probabilistic sampling of 48 repositories from (n = 10) National University and (n = 38) private (free) universities that are aggregated in the Alicia repository. The Google Scholar Indexing (IGS rate) shows an average of 0.32 [RIC: 0.241-0.596] for national universities and 0.62 [RIC: 0.494-0.891] for private universities, with a statistically small difference. Private universities show a higher number of item indexing in Google Scholar (60%) compared to (43%) national universities, which indicating more work in terms of content visibility in the private section. The number of items in the repositories of a national university is more than the number of items in the repository of a private university [12]. In [13], introduces and

discusses an aspect of academic search engine optimization. Based on three recent studies, the guidelines generally provide how to optimize the research literature for the academic search engine and in particular for Google Scholar. The fields of documentation may be guided differently by academic search engines as follows [13]:

- Document text
 - Title
 - Abstract
 - (Sub) Headers
 - Author keywords
 - Body text
 - Tables and figures
- Document metadata
 - Author names
 - Name of publications (name of journal, conference, book, etc.)

Most students are not aware of the limitations of standard search engines. Introducing the invisible web to scientific library clients not only gives them information they need to know but also provides an opportunity for outstanding library services, especially librarians and their research expertise. This research provides a principle for the invisible web and suggests ways to promote the use and interest in library services [14]. In [15], considering the features of university journal websites, SEO strategies are performed from the following aspects: directory structure, keyword strategy, pseudo-static URL, code optimization, and inbound links [15]. In [16], suggests a way to optimize video ranking by the search engine's search strategy that eventually leads to an increase in the number of visits of videos with a higher rank and as a result improves the relevant website for each visit. For testing, YouTube.com is considered. To promote white hat SEO, a method has been proposed that uses keyword tags in the title. Video ranks are analyzed before and after VSEO. The main idea of the proposed strategy is to select the appropriate keyword tag based on navigation query, transactional query, and information search query [16]. In [17], tries to reveal the process of interest and development space for the less covered area from the point of view of the selected webometric research area. Recent estimates of the efficiency and coverage of the research engine are considered as a frame for content analysis and selected quality. Issues are discussed by measuring the impact coefficient Web-IF. About concluding the research, new aspects of web-based knowledge discovery are explored, a focus on web tracking, Additionally, bibliometric methods used in

bibliographies and database citations are planned. In this framework, graph theoretical approaches, including path analysis, transversal links, weak ties, and small world phenomena are integrated [17]. In [18], has planned some basic tools using content strategies and discusses how to use them by librarians. The hidden key idea in content strategy is planning and strategizing the content of all library communication frameworks. An understandable feature is the content strategy, a valuable tool for librarians searching to update and enhance their websites and social media [18]. In [19], introduces an SEO case, and it is specifically designed for a research open access information website in the field of STEM. As a result of implementing metadata and creating an XML sitemap, KoreaScience web pages have significantly increased the relevancy of search results list on Google and Google Scholar. In addition, the KoreaScience framework has received incremental traffic from around the world [19]. Webometrics is used for analyzing new forms of data. Thus, data collection and processing methods have been highlighted in many studies. Because web, text, or multimedia documents are recorded information, webometrics is closely to bibliometrics. However, Commercial search engines are widely used for webometric research, and they are especially useful for many pages on a large area of the web. There are many problems with commercial search engines. They do not generally cover the entire web or indexable web. Additionally, crawling and reporting algorithms are commercial secrets, which poses an issue with their use in scientific research. Furthermore, search engine results are unreliable and can fluctuate even over short periods of time [20]. In [21], comparatively analyzes the web visibility of libraries according to the top 10 universities on the Times Higher Education World University Ranking (The) website and the 10 largest universities in Spain, as well as the relationship between the web visibility of libraries and universities to extract it. To study web visibility, a search engine optimization tool called the Sistris toolbox is used. A lot of data, and notably the Web Index, which combines different data indexes (scales) to analyze web visibility. The results are analyzed with xovi, another SEO tool that offers its visibility index. Both tools allow us to observe similar tendencies in the visibility of library websites. The results show that the visibility of the university library is generally low, and there is no direct relationship between the visibility index of libraries and their universities. Some disclosure exceptions have been defined so that libraries have made a significant contribution to the web visibility of their universities. The results suggest that educational institutions need to implement SEO strategies in order to provide a more effective visibility of them [21]. Academic library websites contain large amounts of complex content and often

lack an established process of creating, editing, and deleting content. There is no clear vision or suggestion for content, and a large number of staff are expected to maintain content with little guidance. Because of this, many library websites end up with content that is poorly written—duplicate or out of date. This study describes how a website content strategy should be developed for the University of Arizona libraries so that all content is useful, practical, and accessible in the future [22]. Information about the faculty and their publications in the library database such as the library of congress name validation file, VIAF, WorldCat, and organizational repositories can be found. It is also possible to in register IDs such as ORCID and ISNI, as well as access educational social networking sites like Academia, Google Scholar, and ResearchGate. However, search engine methods are not as clear as IDs and profiles. Therefore, researchers at the Comprehensive University conducted several web searches before and after the creation and modification of the faculty identification records. The sample included 24 colleges and 30 journals related to their licenses. Researchers identified faculty and their publications directly on social networks and websites, and then followed by Google, Bing, and Google Scholar to record faculty members' profiles and publications and the top 50 results. The college with the most profiles was more visible in the search engine, and the college with the identification records was ranked higher on Google. The results associated with the discovery and ranking of the journal were indefinite but showed a clear difference between the search tools. The concepts of this research can support educational efforts on academic identification and research profiles [23]. Search engines have become an essential part of people's lives. The objective was to enhance the capabilities of these search engines, even when not connected to the internet. To achieve this, a separate offline search engine was developed to retrieve data from archives. An improved user interface was implemented to make using the software more attractive and user-friendly. The most significant addition to the interface is a spell-check technique that provides recommendations based on the entered query. The objective is to enhance the usability of offline search engines. This involves implementing a spell check mechanism that provides satisfactory results and utilizing a binary converter to improve the accuracy of query correction and evaluation. Search engines have become essential in our daily lives. Our aim was to enhance their capabilities by developing a dedicated offline search engine that retrieves data from the archives. An updated user interface was implemented to enhance the software's visual appeal and user-friendliness. One of the most significant additions to the interface is a spell check feature that provides recommendations based on the

input query. The main objective is to enhance the usability of offline search engines. This involves improving the spell check mechanism to deliver satisfactory results and incorporating a binary converter to enhance the accuracy of query correction and evaluation [24]. This research discusses the evaluation of search engine ranking factors in a Web 2.0 and Web 3.0 context. A LSHrank benchmark crawler has been developed, which uses the well-known search engine API and compares the results against a variety of previously established criteria in different types and domains of web content. LSHrank's ultimate goal is to develop a search engine optimization mechanism that will enhance the content of a website and modify the content of a website in order to obtain its optimal ranking in search engine result pages (SERPs) [25]. In [26], the aim is to investigate the impact of search engine optimization metrics on the ranking of universities. they extracted 30 points of meaningful SEO criteria using different tools. Data analysis has been done by applying associative rules for the data. Also, in the results of the research, they stated that some SEO metrics such as the number of backlinks, Alexa rank and page rank have a significant and direct impact on the university website ranking and attractive rule has been extracted. There are some limitations in [26], including the fact that the SEO metrics are not fully and correctly stated. Also, the results for universities have not been practically tested and the URL factor has not been considered [26]. In [27], context is about how to use different SEO methods to improve marketing. Search engines are a major way to increase global SEM. By using SEO, big companies can compete with one another. The main goal of any website is to show all the links on search engines, and thereby turning the website becomes into a business environment. In [27], research findings show that the SEO method greatly increases product marketing. The goal of optimization [28] is to increase the visibility index, which is based on backlinks. Researchers evaluate the number of incoming backlinks using SEO data crawler and hrefs. By using the 2021 webometric release, the visibility index has increased. This determines the positive impact of SEO optimization in order to increase the visibility index [28]. Labeling results can be influenced by the search results page and by using SEO. SEO results are better recognized on a smaller page than on a larger page. The value of 59.2% shows that SEO has a positive effect on ranking. In [29], is a guide for search engine providers, regulators and information literacy. Users are also surveyed about search engine optimization online. The participants in the 2012 survey are German Internet users. In [29], tests search engine results in the context of external influences. The research [30], analyzes airline websites using SEO techniques. Then it looks at the impact of SEO on website traffic. The data is related

to 243 airlines with a period of one year (December 2020 to 2021). An exploratory model by fuzzy cognitive mapping is created. The results show that airline website traffic is highly dependent on SEO methods [30].

The brief summary for section related works is shown in (Table 1):

3. Methodology

The idea of this research is based on a comparative analysis of the web visibility of universities and related libraries and its impact on website improvement. For this purpose, 20 international universities and their related libraries have considered and the top 10 universities in the world listed in Times Higher Education 2019 and the 10 largest universities in Spain in terms of the number of students have selected for analysis. The results obtained in this study is compared with the results obtained in [20]. Search engine optimization tool of Sistrix has used to analyze the web visibility. SEO analysis of universities' domains and their subdomains was performed during the second week of May 2021. The Sistrix tool crawls the web, retrieves web indexes for domains, and displays data for comparable sites. Calculation based on checking the top 100 Google weekly search results for one million keywords or a combination of keywords (search phrases) that reflect search behaviors in different countries. 100 million items of data are obtained each week (one million keywords X 100 top search results) that is assigned to the domain. Visibility index is weighted according to the volume of searches and the ranking of search results. The Sistrix tool visibility index is not a website traffic index. Instead, it reflects the position of a domain and subdomain in Google, while prevents external factors that impinge on traffic [20]. Therefore, using the visibility index, is received that how to develop a website domain with Google. The best visibility index value for a website is obtained by comparing and competing with other websites. Improving the visibility index does not lead to better ranking in Google, but this optimization in Google ranking promote the value of the visibility index. Using of competitive analysis, the best formats of websites for ranking in Google are determined.

3.1. Assessment of Results

Table 2 shows the visibility index rankings and backlinks rankings and the top 10 and top100 keywords as well as the top 10 and 100 URLs for the 20 universities is studied, and (Table 3) shows the visibility index rankings and backlinks rankings and the top 10 and 100 URLs for the 20 university's libraries.

Comparative Analysis of Web Visibility using SEO Tools And Its Effect on Website Improvement

Table 1. Comparison and review of different SEO method

<i>Author(s)</i>	<i>Year</i>	<i>Subject</i>	<i>Proposed method</i>
L.Bjorneborn, P.Ingwensen [17]	2001	Perspectives of webometrics	Investigating the efficiency and coverage of a research engine for content analysis and quality by measuring the impact factor Web-IF.
J.Davine, F.Egger-Sider [14]	2004	Beyond Google: The Invisible Web in the Academic Library	In this research provides a principle for the invisible web and suggests ways to promote the use and interest in library services.
M.Thelwall, L.Vaughan, L.Bjorneborn [20]	2005	Webometrics	Study and investigate of webometrics and crawling algorithms and their usage in search engines.
I.F.Aguillo, J.L.Ortega, M.Fernandez [10]	2008	Webometric Ranking of World Universities: Introduction, Methodology, and Future Developments	Evaluation of Webometric Ranking Criteria of Universities Using WR Combined Index.
D.Lewandowski [29]	2010	Using Search Engine Technology to Improve Library Catalogs	Investigate search engine technology in online public access catalogs (OPACs) and identify user interests
J.Beel, B.Gipp, E.Eilde [13]	2010	Academic Search Engine Optimization (AESO)	A study of academic search engine optimization and Google Scholar.
J.Shi, Y.Cao, X.j.Zhao [15]	2010	Research on SEO Strategies of University Journal Websites	Perform SEO strategies in terms of directory structure, keywords, pseudo-static URLs, code optimization, and inbound links.
Gh.R.Amin, A.Emrouznejad [9]	2011	Optimizing search engines results using linear programming	It provides mathematical model of linear programming to optimize the results of a ranking list from a given group of web search engines for a query issue.
D.Onaifo, D.Rasmussen [6]	2012	Increasing Libraries' content findability on the web with search engine optimization	The experiment search engine optimization phenomenon as a mechanism to improve the digital content of libraries, identify several web features to optimize the ranking of search engine results pages (SERPs)
R.Blakiston [22]	2013	Developing a Content Strategy for an Academic Library Website	Describe a useful and practical content strategy for a website for the University of Arizona libraries
G.Egri, C.Bayrak [8]	2014	The Role of Search Engine Optimization n Keeping the User on the Site	The certain focus of this research is on the importance of time, speed, reduced jump rate, page views and page layout in keeping the user on the site for search engine optimization.
K.Choudhari, V.K.Bhalla [16]	2015	Video Search Engine Optimization Using Keyword and Feature Analysis	The main idea of the proposed strategy is to select the appropriate keyword tags based on navigational query, transactional query and information search query.
Th.Mavridis, A.L.Symeonidis [24]	2015	Identifying valid search engine ranking factors in Web 2.0 and Web 3.0 context for building efficient SEO mechanisms	Evaluate search engine ranking factors in a Web 2.0 and Web 3.0 text. The LSHrank crawler uses the well-known search engine API.
F.Wang, B.Xu [7]	2017	Who needs to be more visible online? The value implications of web visibility and firm heterogeneity	Investigating the value concept of web visibility, and firm heterogeneity and the process of creating a web visibility using its mapping in firm performance.
J.Alhuay-Quispe, D.Quispe-Riveros, L.Bautista-Ynofuente, J.Pacheco-Mendoza [12]	2017	Metadata Quality and Academic Visibility Associated with Document Type Coverage in Institutional Repositories of Peruvian Universities	Check the number of indexed items in the university repository and the level of metadata quality in Google Scholar.
J.A.Clark, D.Rossmann [11]	2017	The Open SESMO (Search Engine & Social Media Optimization) Project: Linked and Structured Data for Library Subscription Databases to Enable Web scale Discovery in Search Engines	Presentation SESMO standard innovation for libraries to pay users at the database level through the open web using linked and structured data methods

<i>Author(s)</i>	<i>Year</i>	<i>Subject</i>	<i>Proposed method</i>
I.Datig [18]	2018	Revitalizing library websites and social media with content strategy: Tools and recommendations	Content strategy planning is a valuable tool searching for librarians to update and strengthen their websites and social media.
M.Park [19]	2018	SEO for an Open Access scholarly information system to improve user experience	SEO is designed for an open-access research website in the field of STEM.
B.Özkan, E.Özceylan, M.Kabak, M.Dagdeviren [3]	2019	Evaluating the websites of academic departments through SEO criteria: a hesitant fuzzy linguistic MCDM approach	Evaluation SEO criteria are an MCDM problem. Provides criteria values from a set of hesitant fuzzy linguistic titles.
Ch.Vyas [4]	2019	Evaluating state tourism websites using Search Engine Optimization tools	Use Alexa, SimilarWeb, SEMRUSH and MOZ optimization tools to improve the visibility and ranking of tourist websites.
R.B.French, J.C.Fagan [23]	2019	The Visibility of Authority Records, Researcher Identifiers, Academic Social Networking Profiles, and Related Faculty Publications in Search Engine Results	Researchers, faculty, and their publications are validated on the social media and websites.
M.Vallez, A.Ventura [21]	2020	Analysis of the SEO visibility of university libraries and how they effective the web visibility of their universities.	Comparing and analyzing web visibility Top 20 libraries of universities to study web visibility and use Sistrix and Xovi search engine optimization tools.

Table 2. Web Visibility Index Rankings for 20 Universities

University	Country	Visibility Index	BackLinks	Keywords Top-10	Keywords Top-100	URLs Top-10	URLs Top-100
Open University of Catalonia-UOC	ES	0.0276	3.644	71	1.758	1	1
Complutense University of Madrid-UCM	ES	0.5285	30.343	217	8.160	1	1
University of Granada-UGR	ES	0.0985	52.358	96	4.778	1	1
University of Seville-USE	ES	0	24	1	11	1	1
University of Barcelona-UB	ES	0.1012	3.615	144	1.613	19	51
University of Valencia-UV	ES	0.0534	32.183	87	3.022	1	1
University of the Basque Country-UPV	ES	0.1713	1.660	73	284	1	1
Autonomous University of Barcelona – UAB	ES	0.0112	62.124	17	673	1	1
King Juan Carlos University – URJC	ES	0.2885	14.765	368	12.655	1	1
The National Distance Education University-UNED	ES	0	206	0	0	0	0
University of Oxford	UK	0.3421	8.275	98	843	1	1
University of Cambridge	UK	0.2397	145.619	122	3.436	1	1
Imperial College London-ICL	UK	0.4003	30.707	210	3.523	1	1
Stanford University	US	0.8568	27.973	103	347	1	1
Harvard University	US	1.673	22.193	238	444	1	1
Massachusetts Institute of Technology-MIT	US	0.7433	5.480	71	151	1	1
Yale University	US	0.5769	18.019	72	288	1	1
Princeton University	US	0.6476	10.850	99	317	1	1
University of Chicago	US	0.7798	39.759	89	207	1	1
California Institute of Technology-Caltech	US	0.011	11.314	15	54	1	1

Table 3. Web visibility Index Ranking for 20 University's Library

Library of university	Country	Visibility Index	BackLinks	Keywords Top-10	Keywords Top-100	URLs Top-10	URLs Top-100
Open University of Catalonia-UOC	ES	2.451	2.894	3.448	18.157	1.343	4.523
Complutense University of Madrid-UCM	ES	1.418	15.534	4.730	31.980	1.403	4.277
University of Granada-UGR	ES	0.6169	6.384	1.424	5.626	732	1.508
University of Seville-USE	ES	0.0838	834	190	1.378	1	1
University of Barcelona-UB	ES	0.1886	50	66	105	1	1
University of Valencia-UV	ES	0	6	0	1	0	1
University of the Basque Country-UPV	ES	0.0037	36	96	664	1	1
Autonomous University of Barcelona – UAB	ES	0.0025	7	90	438	1	1
King Juan Carlos University – URJC	ES	0.0015	56	77	421	1	1
The National Distance Education University-UNED	ES	0.0413	1.271	144	1.549	1	1
University of Oxford	UK	0.1016	9.373	727	7.657	498	2.274
University of Cambridge	UK	0.1686	133	77	260	1	1
Imperial College London-ICL	UK	0.2443	200	452	4.955	144	294
Stanford University	US	0.3342	548	20	28	1	1
Harvard University	US	0.1617	1.568	27	42	1	1
Massachusetts Institute of Technology-MIT	US	0.0377	1.531	21	30	1	1
Yale University	US	0.0391	197	17	56	1	1
Princeton University	US	0.2377	277	21	38	1	1
University of Chicago	US	0.1027	2.287	14	26	1	1
California Institute of Technology-Caltech	US	0.0162	2.820	2	3	1	1

The ranking of the web visibility index of the analyzed 20 universities is shown in Figure 6. and as shown in the figure, Harvard University has the highest web visibility index, and universities USE and UNED have the lowest web visibility index (value 0). In Figure 6, the horizontal axis represents the universities and the vertical axis represents the web visibility index for university websites.

The results show that the visibility of university websites and their libraries is generally low or zero, with the except Harvard University and the UCM and UOC libraries, which have a web index higher than 1. One of the reasons for the Webcam View Index for the library is that:

The library does not have its own subdomain, for example, if its URL is a directory of a university website address. The three cases are in the following respectively:

- Library of Autonomous University of Barcelona(UAB): <https://www.uab.cat/web/servicio-de-bibliotecas-1345733231312.html>

- Library of The National Distance Education University (UNED): <https://www.uned.es/universidad/biblioteca.html>
- Library of University of Valencia (UV): <https://www.uv.es/uvweb/libraries-documentation-service/en/libraries-documentation-service-1285867215074.html>

As shown in the Figure 7, there is no direct relationship between the web visibility index of universities compared to their libraries and in most cases, the web visibility index of universities is higher, with the exception of universities UB, USE, UGR, UCM, UOC, that have lower web visibility. The interesting point about this chart is this that has the lowest web visibility index for UOC university, while for the library of UOC university, it has the highest value.

Figure 8. the horizontal axis shows the university libraries and the vertical axis shows the web visibility index of the library's subdomains. The web visibility index in the tests performed in this

research for the two libraries of UCM and UOC universities has a higher value than the research [20], which indicates the improvement of the library website of the mentioned universities (Figure 8). The figure below shows which of the libraries gained or lost their visibility after one year.

As shown in Figure 9, it includes the horizontal axis of the universities and the vertical axis of the backlinks. The backlinks of the USE, SU and PU universities libraries have a higher value than the backlinks of these libraries in research [20], as a result, they have a higher score in search engines.

In Figure 10, the horizontal axis represents the university libraries and the vertical axis represents the top 100 keywords of the library subdomains. Compared to the research [20], library of universities Yu, UPV, Uch, HU, URJ, MIT, UAB, UC, UNED, Caltech have number. The universities

can find new keywords through their competitors, and thus they can achieve higher rankings.

In Figure 11, the horizontal axis represents the libraries and the vertical axis represents the top 100 URLs. As shown in the figure, the top 100 URLs is less for most libraries than [20] research, except for one case where for ICL University the top 100URL for this research is more than [20]. The URLs provided by the UOC, UCM, UPV, UAB, URJ, UNED, UO, and Caltech libraries in this research are equal to those in [20]. As can be seen in (Table A1). The low value of the top 100 URLs in this research for universities such as SU, PU, UC can be attributed to the use of HTTPS in the addresses of the libraries of these universities, while in the test of these libraries for research [20], the address of their HTTPS is not used.




Figure. 6. Web visibility index ranking of 20 universities is analyzed




Figure. 7. Relationship between visibility index of university and its library



Figure 8. Comparison of web visibility index ranking performed with [20]




Figure 9. Evaluation Backlinks for Libraries




Figure 10. Evaluation keywords for libraries compared to [20]




Figure. 11. Evaluation the top 100 URLs of libraries compared to the method of [20]

4. Conclusions

The number of users who use search engines is increasing day by day, so search engine optimization and consequently the use of methods to improve the ranking and visibility of the website is important particularly to attract and adhere to Internet users. There are several ways to optimize your search engine. Search engine optimization performs on-page SEO, content and internal optimization of a website for the search engine. Technical search engine optimization includes ways to improve the structure and readability of a website. Off-page search engine optimization includes out of the site optimization works. By identifying website features, search engine results ranking is improved. The purpose of current research is to survey the impact of SEO criteria on the optimization of the websites of universities and their libraries.

The Visibility Index has several practical uses for SEO:

Competitive analysis - It allows you to track the historical visibility and performance of your competitors, identifying which ones have gained or lost visibility over time. This can inform your own SEO strategy.

Tracking your own progress - By setting event pins for changes you make to your website; you can monitor how those actions impact your Visibility Index over time. This helps you understand what is working (or not working) in your SEO efforts.

Identifying technical issues - Sudden drops in Visibility Index can indicate problems with your website, such as incorrect robots.txt settings, slow loading speeds, duplicate content, or broken links. Monitoring the Visibility Index can help you detect quickly address these technical SEO problems [31].

As a result of improving the ranking and visibility of the website, the user interaction with the website increases.

The results show that the web visibility index obtained for libraries is not much different from the value of the web visibility index of libraries for research [20], except in the cases of the UO, UCM, and UOC university libraries, where the results show that, the URL used in the experiments is effective on the web visibility index. There is no direct relationship between the top 10 keywords and the top 100 keywords with the web index of universities and their libraries. The data obtained from the Sistrix tool is listed in the Appendix A.

As a future work, it is possible to test the impact of web visibility index simultaneously with other metrics on websites, and research on URL components and its impact on SEO.

Declarations

Funding

This research did not receive any grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or non-profit sectors.

Authors' contributions

Hadis Shafaei: Study design, acquisition of data, interpretation of the results, statistical analysis, drafting the manuscript;

Mohammad Taheri: Study design, interpretation of the results, drafting the manuscript, revision of the manuscript.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that no conflicts of interest exist.

Appendix

Appendixes, if needed, appear before the declaration.

Appendix A.

University	Acronym	Country	University URL	URL Library
Open University of Catalonia	UOC	ES	https://www.uoc.edu	http://biblioteca.uoc.edu/
Complutense University of Madrid	UCM	ES	https://www.ucm.es	https://biblioteca.ucm.es/
University of Granada	UGR	ES	https://www.ugr.es	https://biblioteca.ugr.es/
University of Seville	USE	ES	https://ics-seville.org	https://bib.us.es/
University of Barcelona	UB	ES	https://www.ub.edu/web/po-rtal/ca/	http://craib.ub.edu/
University of Valencia	UV	ES	https://www.uv.es	https://www.uv.es/uvweb/libraries-documentation-service/en/libraries-documentation-service-1285867215074.html
University of the Basque Country	UPV	ES	https://www.ehu.eus	https://www.ehu.eus/es/web/biblioteka
Autonomous University of Barcelona	UAB	ES	https://www.uab.cat	https://www.uab.cat/web/servicio-de-bibliotecas-1345733231312.html
King Juan Carlos University	URJ	ES	https://www.urjc.es	https://www.urjc.es/estudiar-en-la-urjc/biblioteca
The National Distance Education University	UNED	ES	https://portal.uned.es	https://www.uned.es/universidad/biblioteca.html
University of Oxford	UO	UK	https://www.ox.ac.uk	https://www.bodleian.ox.ac.uk/
University of Cambridge	UC	UK	https://www.cam.ac.uk	https://www.lib.cam.ac.uk/
Imperial College London	ICL	UK	https://www.imperial.ac.uk	https://www.imperial.ac.uk/admin-services/library/
Stanford University	SU	US	https://www.stanford.edu	https://library.stanford.edu/
Harvard University	HU	US	https://www.harvard.edu	https://library.harvard.edu/
Massachusetts Institute of Technology	MIT	US	https://www.mit.edu	https://libraries.mit.edu/
Yale University	YU	US	https://www.yale.edu	https://web.library.yale.edu/
Princeton University	PU	US	https://www.princeton.edu	https://library.princeton.edu/
University of Chicago	Uch	US	https://www.uchicago.edu	https://www.lib.uchicago.edu/
California Institute of Technology	Caltech	US	https://www.caltech.edu	https://www.library.caltech.edu/

References

- [1] N. Yağcı, U. Köse, "What is search engine optimization: SEO?," *Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences*, vol. 9, pp. 487-493, 2010. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2010.12.185>
- [2] A. Shahzad et al., "Search Engine Optimization Techniques for Malaysian University Websites: A Comparative Analysis on Google and Bing Search Engine," *International Journal on Advanced Science Engineering Information Technology*, vol. 8, no. 4, pp. 1262-1269, 2018.
- [3] B. Özkan, E. Özceylan, M. Kabak, M. Dagdeviren, "Evaluating the websites of academic departments through SEO criteria: a hesitant fuzzy linguistic MCDM approach," *The journal of academic librarianship*, vol. 53, pp. 875-905, 2019. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10462-019-09681-z>
- [4] C. Vyas, "Evaluating state tourism website using Search Engine Optimization tools," *Tourism Management*, vol. 73, pp. 64-70, 2019. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman.2019.01.019>
- [5] D. Lewandowski, "Using Search Engine Technology to Improve Library Catalogs," In *Advances in Librarianship*, vol. 32, 2010, pp. 35-54. [https://doi.org/10.1108/S00652830\(2010\)0000032005](https://doi.org/10.1108/S00652830(2010)0000032005)
- [6] D. Onaifo and D. Rasmussen, "Increasing Libraries' content findability on the web with search engine optimization," *Library Hi Tech*, vol. 31, pp. 87-108, 2012. <https://doi.org/10.1108/07378831311303958>
- [7] F. Wang and B. Xu, "Who needs to be more visible online? The value implications of web visibility and firm heterogeneity," *Information & Management*, vol. 54, pp. 506-515, 2017. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.im.2016.11.002>
- [8] G. Egri and C. Bayrak, "The Role of Search Engine Optimization on Keeping the User on the Site. Complex Adaptive Systems Conference Organized by Missouri University of Science and Technology", *Procedia Computer Science*, vol. 36, pp. 335-342, 2014. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2014.09.102>
- [9] G. R. Amin and A. Emrouznejad, "Optimizing search engines results using linear programming," *Expert Systems with Applications*, vol. 38, no. 9, pp. 11534-11537, 2011. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2011.03.030>
- [10] I. F. Aguillo, J. L. Ortega and M. Fernandez, "Webometric Ranking of World Universities: Introduction, Methodology, and Future Developments," *Higher*

- Education in Europe*, vol. 33, pp. 233-244, 2008. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03797720802254031>
- [11] J. A. Clark and D. Rossmann, "The Open SESMO (Search Engine & Social Media Optimization) Project: Linked and Structured Data for Library Subscription Databases to Enable Web-scale Discovery in Search Engines," *Journal of web Librarianship*, vol. 11, pp. 172-193, 2017. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19322909.2017.1378148>
- [12] J. A. Quispe, D. Q. Riverose, L. B. Ynofuente, and J. P. Mendoza, "Metadata Quality and Academic Visibility Associated with Document Type Coverage in Institutional Repositories of Peruvian Universities," *Journal of web Librarianship*, vol. 11, no. 3-4, pp. 241-254, 2017. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19322909.2017.1382427>
- [13] J. Beel, B. Gipp and E. Eilde, "Academic Search Engine Optimization (ASEO): Optimizing Scholarly Literature for Google Scholar & Co," *Journal of Scholarly Publishing*, vol. 41, no. 2, pp. 176-190, 2010. <https://doi.org/10.3138/jsp.41.2.176>
- [14] J. Devine and F. Egger-Sider, "Beyond Google: The Invisible Web in the Academic Library," *The Journal of Academic Librarianship*, vol. 30, no. 4, pp. 265-269, 2004. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acalib.2004.04.010>
- [15] J. Shi, Y. Cao and X. J. Zha, "Research on SEO Strategies of University Journal Websites," *The 2nd International Conference on Information Science and Engineering (ICISE)*, IEEE, 2010, pp. 3060-3063. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICISE.2010.5690798>
- [16] K. Choudhari and V. K. Bhalla, "Video Search Engine Optimization Using Keyword and Feature Analysis," *Procedia Computer Science*, vol. 58, pp. 691-697, 2015. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2015.08.089>
- [17] L. Bjerneborn and P. Ingwersen, "Perspectives of webometrics," *Scientometrics*, vol. 50, pp. 65-82, 2001. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1005642218907>
- [18] I. Datig, "Revitalizing library websites and social media with content strategy: Tools and recommendations," *Journal of Electronic Resources Librarianship*, vol. 30, no. 2, pp. 63-69, 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1941126X.2018.1465511>
- [19] M. Park, "SEO for an Open Access scholarly information system to improve user experience," *Information Discovery and Delivery*, vol. 46, no. 2, pp. 77-82, 2018. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IDD-08-2017-0060>
- [20] M. Thelwall, L. Vaughan and L. Bjerneborn, "Webometrics," *Annual Review of Information Science and Technology*, vol. 39, no. 1, pp. 81-135, 2005. <https://doi.org/10.1002/aris.1440390110>
- [21] M. Vallez and A. Ventura, "Analysis of the SEO visibility of university libraries and how they impact the web visibility of their universities," *The journal of academic librarianship*, vol. 46, no. 4, p. 102171, 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acalib.2020.102171>
- [22] R. Blakiston, "Developing a Content Strategy for an Academic Library Website". *Journal of Electronic Resources Librarianship*, vol. 25, pp. 175-191, 2013. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1941126X.2013.813295>
- [23] R. B. French and J. C. Fagan, "The Visibility of Authority Records, Researcher Identifiers, Academic Social Networking Profiles, and Related Faculty Publications in Search Engine Results," *Journal of web librarianship*, vol. 13, no. 3, pp. 156-197, 2019. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19322909.2019.1591324>
- [24] T. Mavridis and A. L. Symeonidis, "Identifying valid search engine ranking factors in Web 2.0 and Web 3.0 context for building efficient SEO mechanisms," *Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence*, vol. 41, pp. 75-91, 2015. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.engappai.2015.02.002>
- [25] S. Gowri et al., "Usage of a binary integrated spell check algorithm for an upgraded search engine optimization," *Measurement: Sensors*, vol. 24, p. 100451, 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.measen.2022.100451>
- [26] M. Kouhzadi Seyfabad and M. J. Shayegan Fard, "An Analysis of the Impact of SEO on University Website Ranking," *Iranian Journal of Information Processing and Management*, vol. 34, no. 4, pp. 1799-1822, 2019. <https://doi.org/10.35050/IJPM010.2019.022>
- [27] H. Goyal and K. Kapoor, "Search engine optimization with google," *International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)*, vol. 10, pp. 496-501. <https://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2022.47904>
- [28] P. M. Wibowo, N. A. Riski and N. Ekasari, "Evaluasi Penerapan Search Engine Optimization (seo) Website untuk Meningkatkan Indikator Visibilitas Webometrics Universitas "XYZ"," *LIBRARIA: Jurnal Perpustakaan*, vol. 10, pp. 93-110, 2022. <http://dx.doi.org/10.21043/libraria.v10i1.13916>
- [29] D. Lewandowski and S. Schultheib, "Public awareness and attitudes towards search engine", *Behaviour & Information Technology*, vol. 42, no. 8, pp. 1025-1044, 2023. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0144929X.2022.2056507>
- [30] K. I. Roumeliotis, N. D. Tselikas and D. K. Nasiopoulos, "Airlines' Sustainability Study Based on Search Engine Optimization Techniques and Technologies", *Sustainability*, vol. 14, no. 18, p. 11225, 2022. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su141811225>
- [31] <https://www.sistrix.com/tutorials>



Hadis Shafaei I received my M.Sc. degree in Software Engineering from Islamic Azad University Science and Research Branch Tehran, IRAN, in 2016. I received my B.Sc. degree in Software Engineering from Islamic Azad University of Arak, Iran, in 2008. I have worked as an Assistant Professor in Islamic Azad University of Damavand, Iran. My research interests include: Data Mining, Clustering, Web Mining, Recommender Systems, Neural Network and Markov Models.



Mohammad Taheri was born in 1983. He began and finished his education (2001-2013) all in department of Computer Sci. & Eng. of Shiraz university as one of the top 5% graduated students. Then he started as a faculty member of that department in 2014.