

Iranian Journal of Finance

Print ISSN 2676-6337 Online ISSN 2676-6345

Investigating the Effects of Disclosure of Non-Financial Intangible Information on Investors' Judgment about Future Financial Performance of the Company

Tahereh Mosallanezhad

Ph.D. Candidate, Department of Accounting, Yasooj Branch, Islamic Azad University , Yasooj ,Iran (Email: T.mosalla214@yahoo.com)

Shokrallah Khajavi*

*Corresponding Author, Prof., Department of Accounting, Shiraz University, Shiraz, Iran. (Email: ShKhajavi@rose.shirazu.ac.ir)

Abdolkhalegh Gholami

MA, Department of Auditing, Faculty of Management and Accounting, Allameh Tabataba'i University, Tehran, Iran. (Email: Ghklhalegh@yahcccccc)

Hashem Valipour

Associate Prof., Department of Accounting, Firozabad Branch, Islamic Azad University, Firozabad, Iran. (Email: H.valiuuur@mnailmmmm

Document Type: Original Art	2021, Vol. 5, No. 2. 21-45	
Received: 2020/07/23	Accepted: 2021/04/17	Published: 2021/04/21

Abstract

The present study aims to investigate the needs of users in connection with the information of intangible assets in current financial statements with an emphasis on the way of disclosing non-financial information. For this purpose, the effect of the two inde e ndent variables of causa lin s' dis ssi n and leve of non-financial performance on the financial performance of the firm, as the dependent variable, has been studied. The research is applied in terms of its objective and it is a survey in terms of the research design. The research population includes PhD students of accounting in top-ranking universities of Iran. Statistical methods, including test ratio, single-sample t-test, independent t-test, and one-way and two-way variance analysis have been used to test hypotheses. The findings show that the disclosure of non-financial intangible information along with causal links does not affect the judgment of investors

with a low or high level of knowledge. However, it should be noted that the recall of the performance in terms of non-financial criteria by investors, who have a causal link discussion, is higher than others.

Keywords: Fair Value, Performance Prediction, Quality, International Financial Reporting Standards, Small and Medium-sized Entities.

DOI: 10.30699/IJF.2021.24078	33.1144	Publisher: Iran Finance Association
Copyright: author(s)	Type of License: Cr	reative Commons License (CC-BY 4.0)

Introduction

Since the late twentieth century, interest in intangible assets and their impact on market value has encouraged companies to increase investment in human resources, research and development, new technologies and so on (Buzinskiene, 2017). Intangible assets are one of the most important issues and according to Swanson (2018), intangible assets have become one of the most important drivers of corporate value in recent years (Parvaee and Kordestani, 2018). However, the current accounting system does not meet the information needs of the knowledge age and is unable to properly measure and disclose intangible assets. According to current accounting standards, the business unit records most of its intangible investments in the expense account or depreciate them voluntarily (Arefmanesh and Rahmani, 2015).

Decision-makers usually make decisions based on corporate financial information. Despite this, there are claims about the fact that financial statements do not provide comprehensive and complete information to users without including non-financial performance (Eccles et al., 2001; Dnial et al., 2011; Bini et al., 2018). Stakeholders of companies, such as investors and customers, are increasingly looking for non-financial information to make decisions (Yari, 2018).

Many researchers have attempted to study the effect of disclosure of intangible information, especially non-financial information. Some researchers (such as Lev, 2001; Eccles, Herz, Keegan, Phillips, 2001; and Financial Accounting Standards Board, 2002) rely on the assumption that investors react to greater disclosure of intangible information. Sometimes, simple disclosure of non-financial intangible information makes investors consider this information in their judgments. On the other hand, the relationship between today's intangible activities and non-financial criteria and future financial results is very complex. If these relationships are ignored or misinterpreted,

even more disclosure of non-financial intangible information may not affect investors'oj@gment (Yen, 2004).

At present, there are few studies on intangible assets in Iran and most related studies have considered the measurement of these assets (such as Arefmanesh and Rahmani, 2015; Mashayekhi et al., 2014). However, due to the complex relationships between intangible information, especially nonfinancial intangible criteria and future financial performance, the measurement and disclosure of intangible assets alone may not be enough to influence investors'ojudg ent In order to alleviatels e f these problems, there is a need to focus more on non-financial information in company disclosures. It is assmmed that r ovidino cllll ementary informati n in the for of a sa in s' models about non-financial criteria and their relationship with future financial performance criteria (as a decision-making facilitator tool) helps investors make decisions. The amount of investor knowledge about the industry affects the use of non-financial information in performance evaluation.

Therefore, this study attempts to examine the method of disclosure of non-financia intangible inforoationoand its iccac t n investor's ggdg ent and provide evidence about the role of disclosure of complementary causal links and the leve of investors' lnlwle dle ab t the industry in the use of non-financial information. Due to the effect of the level of knowledge about the industry on the use of non-financial criteria, studies have been conducted separately regarding the high knowledge and low knowledge of investors about the industry.

Theoretical foundation

With the beginning of the first evidence regarding the value of intangible rescerces, specia attentin t "Research and Devemmnunt" (R&D) activities (Lev, 1999) caused researchers to focus on the nature of this published information (Mehrpoyafar, 2016). Companies invest in R&D to innovate. Intangible assets arising as a result of R&D activities face many problems. According to the statement of the financial accounting standards board (2001), all R&D expenditures, except for software development costs, are recorded in the expense account at the time of occurrence (Mazaheri, 2014).

Pharmaceutical companies are among the companies that usually invest heavily in R&D activities to increase value and competitiveness in the market. In pharmaceutical companies, the amount of investment in R&D activities has a positive effect on future financial performance (through product sales revenue). This effect is a function of the amount invested, the success of the product development, the success in obtaining the approval of the Food and Drug Administration (FDA), and the speed of completion of the new products on the production line. Therefore, in the present study, non-financial criteria, such as the number of projects in the development line, the approvals of the food and drug administration, and the time of completion of the new product have been considered as non-financial intangible criteria.

According t the "Functi na Fixati n" theory, pe e se signs and symbols to judge the future. It is as if these signs and symbols have fixed concepts and meanings over time and are always relevant to the subject under judgment, without regard to the way in which these symbols are calculated, as well as the change in the subject matter of which these symbols represent (Hendricksen, 1982). A pharmaceutical company with high R&D activities may report a significant increase in revenue, but due to higher R&D costs, it reports a smaller increase in profit. In fact, increase in R&D costs can reduce net profit (Valizadeh larijani and Hadidifard, 2016). With this scenario, how does an investor predict future financial performance? First, profit forecasting is difficult because of uncertainty in the stability of R&D costs. In addition, although revenue forecasting is simpler than profit and revenue is not directly affected by current R&D costs, revenue timing is significantly affected by R&D activities. Also, disclosure of R&D costs is the input of the process, not the output. For example, two companies with the same R&D costs have different financial prospects because of the different relative returns on R&D investment. As a result, disclosure of R&D costs alone cannot help investors differentiate between the two companies (Yen, 2004).

Based on the theory of "Anchorino", when kakin k a decisi n, pe e first select a figure from among the available information as an anchor and then try to adjust this figure according to other information. The problem is that not everyone can completely make the adjustments once they fund the anchor (Hendricksen, 1982). Lev (2001) and Eccles et al. (2001) worked on companies that provided more non-financial intangible information about innovation activity. They argue that disclosure of non-financial criteria provides more infor ati n ab t pppa nies' performance than disuusure of R&D costs a ne (Yen, 2004). In this research, it is expected that providing non-financial intangible information to the investor will reduce the error of anchoring.

Recent research in psychology suggests that information that is causally linked to the judgment variable is given more attention (Einhorn and Hogarth, 1986; Tversky and Kahneman, 1980; and Ajzen, 1977). These researchers argue that more attention is because people tend to organize events in terms of cause-and-effect relations.

Ut n (2001) stated that "many non-financial criteria are unknown to users f business inforoationo"olt sees that a deve ed ausa de that shows the cause and effect links between non-financial intangible criteria and future financial performance can help investors in processing non-financial information and provide a framework for using non-financial intangible information in their judgment. In the present study, along with a questionnaire, a graphic table that hypothetically shows the causal links between nonfinancial criteria and financial performance of the company has been provided to some participants.

Due to the complex relationship between non-financial criteria and future financial results, non-financial intangible information may not be taken into acc nt in invest rs' j d g ent f future financial performan e Invest rs with knowledge of the industry are less prone to this complexity because they are familiar with non-financial criteria that are the input to the investment decision process. They are also more likely to have a causal model (Yen, 2004). Therefore, investors with a high level of knowledge about the industry are expected to receive non-financial information and use it to judge future performance, even if the discussion of complementary causal links is not provided. However, disclosure of non-financial information alone may not affect the judgement of investors with little knowledge about the industry.

Research Background

Sharma and Kaur (2019) carried t a study entitled "intangible assets: reoortino and hidden value criteria" t investi a te the reoortino of intanlibl e assets in Indian companies during 2010-2016. They examined the difference between book value and market value through content analysis of financial statements and hidden value measure. Findings indicated different results in reporting intangible assets because there was a steady increase in the level of disclosure of intangible assets from 2010 to 2015, while there was less disclosure by companies in 2016. This research proposes that accounting regulators should establish uniform criteria for the classification and reporting of intangible assets.

Bini et al. (2018) conducted research entitled "the business model and disclosure of key non-financia performance measures" and procosedo a

business model as a tool for the transfer of corporate information with the aim of more useful disclosure of non-financial performance criteria. This model first introduced key non-financial measures in line with the strategic objectives of the company. Besides, it provided a comprehensive framework that shows how different resources combine to create corporate value. The results of their research contribute to the reliability of disclosure of information and provide insights for standard developers, legislators, consultants, and auditors seeking to develop guidelines for disclosure of non-financial information.

In a research study entitled "reliability of non-financial information: se urin dr st," Yari (2018) indi ated thatœnterprises are increasingly reoortin non-financial information throughout the world. Stakeholders, such as investors and customers, increasingly seek such information for making decisions. Also, those interested in reporting tend to know if they can trust this information. This confidence can be provided by an independent component. In large European corporations, a third party has been employed to ensure this confidence.

In addition to examining the value of financial information for registered intangible assets, Buzinskiene (2017) examined the value of non-financial information for non-registered intangible assets in Lithuanian companies. In this study, he revealed the true value of intangible assets available to Lithuanian companies. The research results confirmed this method of valuing intangible assets, which allows companies to calculate the fair value of an intangible asset. This extended information of intangible asset valuation is valuable for both corporate owners and investors. So that this value plays an important empirical role in assessing the impact of intangible assets on the market value of companies.

Ghanbari et al. (2017) examined the role of non-financial performance criteria in financial reporting. The results of the research showed that the nonfinancial performance criteria have a value relevance and reliability less than financial measures. Moreover, the intention and thinking of management and accounting rules and standards affect the methods of information disclosure.

Dyczkowska (2016) studied the determinants and quality of R&D disclosure in the annual report of biotechnology companies in Poland. He examined the correlation between R&D disclosure and financial and non-financial measures. In addition, he analyzed the statistical differences between companies with different levels of disclosure. Findings indicated that the majority of companies under study report limited R&D information, or do not provide any R&D disclosures; In addition, companies with higher liquidity and

a higher market-to-book value ratio are more inclined to disclose R&D information.

Mah di et a (2015) ondccted a st d y entitled "the effectoofitheuqality of voluntary disclosure of financial and non-financia performance measures" They identified the factors affecting performance evaluation (financial and non-financial criteria) and investigated the effect of their disclosure on the accuracy of profit prediction. The results indicated that there is a significant relationship between disclosure of effective performance evaluation criteria and accuracy of profit prediction. There is also a significant relationship between disclosure of non-financial performance evaluation measures and accuracy of profit prediction.

khani et al. (2014) studied the relationship between R&D costs and stock returns of pharmaceutical companies accepted in the Tehran Stock Exchange from 2003 to 2011. The results of the study showed that there is no significant reoationshiodbetween R&D costs and st ret rns This is beca se Iran's st market is among the emerging markets and the lack of investors' awareness of R&D costs has caused R&D costs not to affect stock returns. However, this is not the case in developed markets.

Arvidsson (2011) carried out a research study entitled "disclosure of nonfinancia inforoationo incannua recort" and analyzed the ana ge ent tea's perspectives on different aspects of disclosure of non-financial information in the annual report. The results showed that voluntary disclosure compensates for the deficiency of financial statements for proper disclosure of intangible assets.

Yen (2004) investigated the effect of disclosure of non-financial intangible informatin n investors' c jcdc ent The result s indicated that comprehensive disclosure of non-financial information along with intangible assetoinformationoaffects invest rs' j d ent

Research questions

- 1. Is disclosure of non-financial intangible information alone included in the performance judgment of investors with low knowledge of the industry? Will these investors differentiate between companies with above-average non-financial performance, below-average non-financial performance, and non-disclosing companies (control/baseline condition)?
- 2. Is disclosure of non-financial intangible information alone included in the performance judgment of investors with high knowledge of the industry?

Will these investors differentiate between companies with above-average non-financial performance, below-average non-financial performance, and non-disclosing companies (control/baseline condition)?

- 3. How much does the discussion of complementary causal links provided with non-financial intangible information affect performance judgment by investors with low knowledge of the industry?
- 4. How much does the discussion of complementary causal links provided with non-financial intangible information affect performance judgment by investors with high knowledge of the industry?
- 5. Is recall of performance on non-financial criteria higher for investors who receivecthecalsalli nls'l disllssi lnlthanllthe rlinvestlrs?

Research hypotheses

* Primary hypotheses related to causal links

H1: If non-financial intangible information is disclosed alone, it will not be included in the performance judgment by investors with low knowledge of the industry. These investors will not differentiate between companies with above-average non-financial performance, below-average non-financial performance, and non-disclosing companies (control/baseline condition).

H2: If non-financial intangible information is disclosed alone, it is included in the performance judgment by investors with high knowledge of the industry. These investors will differentiate between companies with above-average non-financial performance, below-average non-financial performance, and non-disclosing companies (control/baseline condition).

H3: If the discussion of complementary causal links is provided with nonfinancial intangible information, performance judgment by investors with low knowledge of the industry will be more affected.

H4: If the discussion of complementary causal links is provided with nonfinancial intangible information, performance judgment by investors with high knowledge of the industry will not be affected.

* Hypothesis related to the processing of non-financial information

H5: Recall of performance on non-financial criteria will be higher for investors who receive the discussion of the causal links than other investors.

Research Method

The present study is applied in terms of its objective, the research method is descriptive-analytical, and it is a survey in terms of the research design. The survey tool is a questionnaire. The statistical population of the study consists of PhD students of accounting in top-ranking universities of Iran. The reason for choosing PhD students of accounting, in addition to their homogeneity, is their awareness of the importance of intangible information in decision-making. Elliot et al. (2007) argue that such a statistical population is a valid alternative to investors. Previous studies (such as Hales et al., 2011; Winchel, 2008; Cardinal, 2008; Heitger, 2007; and Rahnama Rodposhti, 2012) have also used this statistical population, stating that such a statistical population has a relatively higher level of perception of the business, accounting, and evaluation and investment matters. Therefore, the results will be of high validity. Due to the small size of the study population, the majority of the population is selected as the statistical sample. The questionnaire was sent to more than 90 people and 50 questionnaires were returned. The average work experience of the study participants is more than 15 years. In addition, 36 participants (70 percent) had real investment experience in ordinary shares of companies and 39 participants (78 percent) decided to invest in ordinary shares of companies. After selecting the sample, participants were asked to play the role of the appraiser of ordinary shares of a hypothetical company and aim to add ordinary shares of the company to their investment portfolio. The hypothetical company was a profitable pharmaceutical company whose profit growth rate is very low relative to its revenue growth percentage. Participants were given information, such as company background and press releases, including financial and nonfinancial information about the company, along with the questionnaire. Participants were divided into three groups and different information was provided to each group. Then, one-sample t-test, independent t-test, one-way and two-way ANOVA were used to test the hypotheses.

1. Research variables and conceptual model

To model, the effect of disclosure of non-financial intangible information on investors' judgment about the future financial performance of the company, the effect of two independent variables of complementary causal links discussion and the level of non-financial performance on firm's financial performance, as a dependent variable, has been studied. In this regard, revenue (short-term decision criteria) and revenue growth (long-term decision criteria) were considered as representative of the company's financial performance criteria.

Since the accounting rules related to R&D costs and its discretionary nature were expected to lead to increased impairment in profit judgements and profit growth, instead of profit and profit growth, the focus is on income and income growth. Based on the research hypotheses, a 2 X 2 plus 1 model has been used for research design. Independent variables in each category are manipulated. The first factor that is manipulated is complementary causal links' discussion. It is such that participants, who receive a report on non-financial criteria, receive it either with or without a complementary discussion of causal links between a firm's non-financial intangibles criteria and future financial performance. The second manipulated factor is the level of non-financial performance. The level of performance of the disclosing company is considered high or low in terms of non-financia criteriaccThe "s 1" is a control condition, in which participants receive neither the report on nonfinancia criteria n r the ca sa in s' dis ssi n Therefore, the reoationshioso between independent and dependent variables are tested in three conditions: control (disclosure of non-financial criteria is not done), no links (disclosure of non-financial criteria without additional causal link information), and with links (disclosure of non-financial criteria with llll ementary calsal lins' information). For the no links and with links conditions, the disclosure of nonfinancial measures shows the average high performance (HI NFM) and the average low performance (LO NFM). Figure 1 shows the conceptual model of the research design.



Figure 1. Conceptual Model (Researcher-developed)

An open-ended questin was used t measure next year's revenue (no response scale was used) and a 15-point Likert scale was used to measure revenue growth (next 3 to 5 years).

The first independent variable is the causal links (LINK). Participants where causa lins' dis ssin can rank the usef ness f this variable in revenue judg ent. They measure calsal lins' dis ssin a 15-point Likert Scale.

The second independent variable is the level of non-financial measures (NFM). The non-financial performance in this study is the competence of management and the quality of management work in the task of managing the company. A 15-point Likert Scale was used to measure this variable. In genera, the fir's perforcance in ters of non-financial measures is classified into two levels of average high performance (HI NFM) and average low performance (LO NFM).

According to the role of knowledge about the industry in the use of nonfinancial measures, the sample is divided into participants with high knowledge and participants with low knowledge that are analyzed separately. In demographic questions, participants were asked to rate their knowledge of the industry (in this study, the pharmaceutical industry) on a 7-point scale (ranging from 1=no knowledge and 7=very high knowledge). Participants who rate themselves or lower are classified as partipipants with "oow lnlwle dge" and participants who rate themselves 5 or higher are classified as participants with "highllnlwl edge".

Research findings

1. First hypothesis testing

Table 1 provides descriptive information about the dependent variables in the three groups in the position of providing non-financial information alone and low level of knowledge.

32 Iranian Journal of Finance, 2021, Vol. 5, No. 2 (Mosallanezhad, T.)

Variable	Group	Mean	Standard deviation	Ν
	Control	783310.40	226465.49	10
Next year	LO NFM	776661.17	131280.58	6
revenue	HI NFM	838943.83	136932.68	6
	Total	796669.73	176798.87	22
	Control	10.30	2.21	10
Devenue enouth	LO NFM	9.33	2.73	6
Revenue growth	HI NFM	11.00	2.10	6
	Total	10.23	2.31	22

Table 1. Descriptive statistic (non-financial information alone and low knowledge)

Descriptive data in Table 1 show that there is not much difference between the groups in the average revenue forecast for the next year and the average assessment of revenue growth for the next 3 to 5 years.

The results of the first hypothesis testing for the three groups by one-way analysis of variance are presented below:

Based on the results obtained from Table 2, the next year's revenue forecast and the revenue growth forecast for the next 3 to 5 years were estimated to be the same in the three groups at the nominal level of 5 percent. These investors do not differentiate between companies with high performance, low performance, companies that do not provide non-financial information.

 Table 2. One-way ANOVA to compare groups (providing non-financial information alone and low knowledge)

Variable	source variable	Sum of squares	d.f.	Mean of squares	F statistic	Sig.
Next year	Intergroup	14909371496	2	7454685748	0.22	0.804
revenue	Intragroup	641505296141	19	33763436639	0.22	0.804
Revenue	Intergroup	8.43	2	4.21	0.77	0.475
growth	Intragroup	103.43	19	5.44	0.77	0.475

Table 3 shows the results of the contrast test to compare the groups in pairs. As can be observed, all significance values are greater than 0.05; therefore, there is no significant difference between the groups in pair in predicting the next year revenue and revenue growth in the next 3 to 5 years.

Variable	Contrasts	Value of contrasts	T statistic	Sig.
Maartaaaaa	Control vs. Lo NFM	6649.2	0.07	0.945
Next year	Control vs. HI NFM	-55633.4	-0.59	0.565
revenue	LO NFM vs. HI NFM	-62282.7	-0.59	0.564
Descence	Control vs. Lo NFM	0.97	0.80	0.43
Revenue growth	Control vs. HI NFM	-0.70	-0.58	0.57
giowiii	LO NFM vs. HI NFM	-1.67	-1.24	0.23

Table 3. Contrasts test (non-financial information alone and low knowledge)

2. Second hypothesis testing

able 4 provides descriptive information about the dependent variables in the three groups in the position of providing non-financial information alone and a high level of knowledge.

Variable	Group	Mean	Standard deviation	Ν
	Control	852140.00	113668.29	6
Next year	LO NFM	845000.00	-	1
revenue	HI NFM	793835.00	55199.01	4
	Total	830289.09	90631.11	11
	Control	11.33	2.81	6
Revenue	LO NFM	9.00	-	1
growth	HI NFM	8.50	3.42	4
	Total	10.09	3.08	11

Table 4. Descriptive statistics (non-financial information alone and high knowledge)

Descriptive data in Table 4 show that there is not much difference between the groups in the average forecast for the next year and the average estimate of revenue growth for the next 3 to 5 years. Since there is only one observation in the group of LO NFM investors and it is not possible to calculate the standard deviation in this case, in addition to the method of analysis of variance, its nonparametric equivalent, i.e. Kruskal-Wallis test that is based n observati ns' rating (n t their vauues) is a s used to examine the significance of this difference.

Regarding the dispersion of next year's revenue forecast, as shown in Tables 1 and 4, the dispersion of forecasts in the control group is much higher than the other two groups, which promises that the responses are more heterogeneous in the control group.

The results of the second hypothesis testing for the three groups by oneway ANOVA are presented below:

Based on the results obtained from Table 5, the next year's revenue forecast and the revenue growth forecast for the next 3 to 5 years were estimated to be the same in the three groups at the nominal level of 5 percent. These investors do not differentiate between companies with high performance, low performance, companies that do not provide non-financial information. Using the Kruskal-Wallis test, the next year's revenue forecast and the revenue growth forecast for the next 3 to 5 years were estimated to be the same in the three groups.

Variable	source variable	Sum of squares	d.f.	Mean of squares	F statistic	Sig.
Next year	Intergroup	8396877191	2	4198393595	0.45	0.650
revenue	Intragroup	73743197068	8	9217899634	0.43	0.030
Revenue	Intergroup	20.58	2	10.29	1 1 1	0.276
growth	Intragroup	74.33	8	9.29	1.11	0.376

 Table 5. One-way ANOVA to compare groups (providing non-financial information alone and high knowledge)

Table 6 shows the results of the contrast test to compare the groups in pairs. As can be observed, all significance values are greater than 0.05; therefore, there is no significant difference between the groups in pair in predicting the next year revenue and revenue growth in the next 3 to 5 years.

Variable	Contrasts	Value of contrasts	T statistic	Sig.
	Control vs. Lo NFM	7140.0	0.07	0.947
Next year revenue	Control vs. HI NFM	58305.0	0.94	0.374
Tevenue	LO NFM vs. HI NFM	51165.0	0.48	0.646
Davanua	Control vs. Lo NFM	2.33	0.71	0.499
Revenue growth	Control vs. HI NFM	2.83	1.44	0.188
growin	LO NFM vs. HI NFM	0.50	0.15	0.887

Table 6. Contrasts test (non-financial information alone and high knowledge)

3. Third hypothesis testing

Table 7 provides descriptive information about the dependent variables in the three groups in the position of providing non-financial information and receiving causal links for investors with a low level of knowledge.

		Receiving causal links						
Variable	Crown		No		Yes			
variable	Group	Mean	Standard deviation	N	Mean	Standard deviation	N	
	Control	783310.40	226465.49	10	-	-	-	
Next	LO NFM	776661.17	121280.58	6	923759.00	-	1	
year revenue	HI NFM	838943.83	136932.68	6	844169.16	65981.54	9	
	Total	796669.73	176798.87	22	852128.14	67106.55	10	
	Control	10.30	2.21	10	-	-	-	
Revenue	LO NFM	9.33	2.73	6	5.00	-	1	
growth	HI NFM	11.00	2.10	6	10.67	2.78	9	
	Total	10.23	2.31	22	10.10	3.18	10	

 Table 7. Descriptive statistics (non-financial information with causal links for investors with low knowledge in million Rials)

Descriptive information in Table 7 shows that the mean revenue forecast for the next year for people who have not received information related to complementary causal links is 796669.73 million Rials and for people who have received information related to complementary causal links is 852128.14 million Rials. In general, not much difference is observed. The average level of assessment of income growth in the next 3 to 5 years was reported to be 10.23 for those who did not receive information on causal links and 10.10 for those who received information related to causal links, showing that there is not much difference.

Below are the results of the third hypothesis testing. In testing this hypothesis, two-way ANOVA was used to investigate the effect of non-financial intangible information (NFM) and complementary causal link information (Link) on the prediction of dependent variables.

Based on the results from table 8, providing non-financial information and receiving cllll ementary calsal lin s does affect next year's revenue forecast and revenue growth forecast of the next 3 to 5 years at a nominal level of 5 percent. The provision of non-financial intangible information does not affect next year's revenue forecast and reven e . r wth f r e ast in the next 3 t 5 years at a nominal level of 5 percent. Also, receiving complementary causal in s does n t affect next year's revenue forecast and revenue gr wth f recast in the next3 to 5 years at a nominal level of 5 percent.

Variable	source variable	Sum of squares	d.f.	Mean of squares	F statistic	Sig.
	Link	16063161036.0	1	16063161036.0	0.64	0.430
Next year	NFM	3479352535.0	2	1739676268.0	0.07	0.933
Next year revenue	Link × NFM	13934637283.0	1	13934637283.0	0.56	0.462
	Error	11+e 6.76	27			
	Link	15.08	1	15.08	2.46	0.128
Revenue	NFM	37.33	2	18.66	3.05	0.064
growth	Link × NFM	11.08	1	11.08	1.81	0.190
	error	165.43	27	6.13		

 Table 8. two-way ANOVA (non-financial intangible information and causal links for investors with low knowledge)

4. Fourth hypothesis testing

Table 9 provides descriptive information about the dependent variables in the three groups in the position of providing non-financial information and receiving causal links for investors with a high level of knowledge.

 Table 9. Descriptive statistics (non-financial information with causal links for investors with high knowledge in million Rials)

			Receiv	ving c	ausal links			
Variable Group			No	1		Yes		
v al lable	Group	Mean	Standard deviation	N	Mean	Standard deviation	N	
	Control	852140.00	113668.29	6		-	-	
Next	LO NFM	845000.00	0.1	1	800000.00	-	1	
year revenue	HI NFM	793835.00	55199.01	4	815151.33	91162.75	6	
	Total	830289.09	90631.11	11	812986.86	83416.63	7	
	Control	11.33	2.81	6	-	-	-	
Revenue	LO NFM	9.00	-	1	7.00	-	1	
growth	HI NFM	8.50	3.42	4	10.17	0.75	6	
	Total	10.09	3.08	11	9.71	1.38	7	

Descriptive information in Table 9 shows that the mean revenue forecast for the next year for people who have not received information related to complementary causal links is 830289.09 million Rials and for people who have received information related to complementary causal links is 812986.86 million Rials. In general, not much difference is observed. The average level of assessment of income growth in the next 3 to 5 years was reported to be 10.09 for those who did not receive information on causal links and 9.71 for those who received information related to causal links, showing that there is not much difference.

Below are the results of the fourth hypothesis testing. In testing this hypothesis, two-way ANOVA was used to investigate the effect of non-financial intangible information (NFM) and complementary causal link information (Link) on the prediction of dependent variables.

Based on the results from table 10, providing non-financial information and receiving complementary causal links does affect next year's revenue forecast and revenue growth forecast of the next 3 to 5 years at a nominal level of 5 percent. The provision of non-financial intangible information does not affect.next year's revenue forecast and revenue gr wth f r ecast in the next 3 t 5 years at a nominal level of 5 percent. Also, receiving complementary causal lin s does n t affect next year's revenue forecast and revenue gr wth f recast in the next3 to 5 years at a nominal level of 5 percent.

Variable	source variable	Sum of squares	d.f.	Mean of squares	F statistic	Sig.
	Link	232103200.0	1	232103200.0	0.03	0.874
Next	NFM	4023143109.0	2	2011571555.0	0.23	0.800
year revenue	Link × NFM	1819802510.0	1	1819802510.0	0.21	0.658
	Error	1.15e+11	13	-		
	Link	0.05	1	0.05	0.01	0.931
Revenue	NFM	14.12	2	7.06	1.19	0.335
growth	Link × NFM	5.56	1	5.56	0.94	0.351
	error	77.17	13	5.94		

Table 10. two-way ANOVA (non-financial intangible information and causal links for investors with high knowledge)

5. Fifth hypothesis testing

For testing the fifth hypothesis, participants were asked questions about the number of projects in the development line, the time of completion of the new project, the level of knowledge about the R&D process strategy, and the status of receiving information about the R&D process strategy.

	Receiving causal links					
Variable	No			Yes		
	Mean	Standard deviation	N	Mean	Standard deviation	N
Number of projects in the development line	7.09	3.84	33	11.00	0.00	17
Time of the completion of the new project	3.33	2.37	33	1.35	0.70	17
level of knowledge about the R&D process strategy	1.58	1.64	33	3.65	2.12	17

Table 11. Descriptive statistics (receiving complementary causal links)

The result of the assumption of homogeneity of error variances can be seen in Table 12. As can be seen, for the number of projects in the development line and the completion time of the new project, the homogeneity test is not approved at the nominal level of 5 percent. Therefore, the independent t-test is used with the assumption of inequality of variance to investigate the effect of causal links. For the level of knowledge about the strategy of the R&D process, the homogeneity test is approved at a nominal level of 5 percent.

Table 12. Levene's test for homogeneity of errcr variances in inde endentct-test

Variable	Levene's statistics	d.f.	Sig.
Number of projects in the development line	118.41	48 and 1	0.000
Time of the completion of the new project	12.03	48 and 1	0.001
level of knowledge about the R&D process strategy	1.70	48 and 1	0.199

Based on the results obtained from Table 13, receiving complementary causal links has an effect on the number of projects in the development line, the time of completion of a new project and the level of knowledge about the R&D process strategy at a nominal level of 5 percent.

Variable	Mean difference	d.f.	T statistic	Sig.
Number of projects in the development line	-3.91	32.00	-5.84	0.000
Time of the completion of a new project	1.98	41.44	4.44	0.000
level of knowledge about the R&D process strategy	-2.07	48.00	-3.83	0.000

Table 13. independent t-test to examine the effect of complementary causal links

In table 14, the descriptive information comprises the frequency and percentage of received information about the R&D process strategy. As can be observed, there is a significant difference between the two groups (p = 0.016 < 0.05).

Table 14. The frequency of the state of receiving information about the R&D strategy

State of receiving information about the R&D	Receiving causal links		
process strategy	No	Yes	
Yes	8(24.2%)	10(58.8%)	
No	25(75.8%)	7(41.2%)	
Total	33(100.0%)	17(100.0%)	

Conclusion and suggestions

Inctoday's word ofolnlwle dle, where intangib e assets are of particllar importance, due to the intangible nature of these assets and the limited standards for measuring and disclosing them, users seem to pay less attention to this kind of information in decision-making. Therefore, the present study sought to provide an appropriate method for the disclosure of intangible information. To this end, with an emphasis on non-financial information that is a consequence of intangible investment, two sets of hypotheses were proposed to examine the effect of complementary causal links (as a tool to help decision-making) along with disclosing non-financial intangible information on investors'ojodoeoentooTh res t s f h y these s testin were as f ws:

The findings confirmed the first hypothesis. Therefore, If non-financial intangible information is disclosed alone, it will not be included in the performance judgment by investors with low knowledge of the industry. These investors will not differentiate between companies with above-average nonfinancial performance, below-average non-financial performance, and nondisclosing companies (control/baseline condition).

The second hypothesis is rejected. Therefore, if non-financial intangible information is disclosed alone, it is not included in the performance judgment by investors with high knowledge of the industry. These investors will not differentiate between companies with above-average non-financial performance, below-average non-financial performance, and non-disclosing companies (control/baseline condition).

Contrary to expectations, the research findings do not confirm the third hypothesis. Thus, if the discussion of complementary causal links is provided with non-financial intangible information (considering the interaction between providing non-financial intangible information and receiving complementary causal links), performance judgment by investors with low knowledge of the industry will not be affected.

Based on the research findings, the fourth hypothesis is confirmed. Thus, if the discussion of complementary causal links is provided with non-financial intangible information, performance judgment by investors with high knowledge of the industry will not be affected.

The results showed that the fifth hypothesis is confirmed. Therefore, recall of performance on non-financial criteria will be higher for investors who receive the discussion of the causal links than other investors. According to the findings, in general, investors who receive a discussion of causal links are more reminiscent of non-financial information than investors who do not. It is worth pondering why, contrary to expectations, the simultaneous presentation of nonfinancial intangible information and complementary causal links does not further influence the judgment of investors with low knowledge of the industry (rejection of the third hypothesis).

The results of the present study are not in accordance with similar studies in some cases (such as Buzinskiene, 2017; Arvidsson, 2011; Yen, 2004). The reasons for the difference may be due to:

(1) Despite investors' awareness of intangible information, their lack of attention to non-financial intangible information, failure to use tools to help decision-making (discussion of complementary causal links) or incorrect interpretation and processing of this information have caused this issue. Investors who are unaware of the value of intangible information can intensify this situation. (2) Investors may have placed more emphasis on their core

beliefs, even in the face of new information, because people follow the anchors and rules of the mind. (3) In addition to financial information, investors in Iran may rely on other factors, such as economic conditions prevailing in the country, existing laws regarding a particular industry, and existing rumors, in order to make decisions. (4) How individuals acquire knowledge and the type of educational and training structure may be important in decision-making and judgment, leading to such a condition. (5) Limitations and gaps arising from current accounting standards regarding intangible information may have led to this.

Therefore, based on the research findings and considering the importance of non-financial intangible informatin in today's lnlwle dle -based economy, investors are suggested to pay more attention to intangible information, especially non-financial information that is the output of intangible activities and consider this information in their decision-making and judgement in the process of valuing companies. Managers of business units are proposed to pay more attention and be sensitive to the disclosure of non-financial intangible information. Because increasing disclosure transparency helps managers to have more effective disclosure and helps them achieve their goal of better information transfer. On the other hand, the standards development organization and audit organization, as the custodians of the development, are proposed to consider executive strategies to monitor the registration and non-financial intangible information. The curriculum disclosure of development committee is recommended to include courses on intangible assets and related non-financial information in the curricula of the postgraduate students of accounting.

In the present study, knowledge and tools facilitating decision-making were used as personality factors affecting judgment and decision-making. Future researchers are proposed to carry out similar research using other factors, such as environmental factors affecting decision making, including group decision making rather than individual decision making. It is also recommended to conduct similar research in other specific industries that have high intangible assets, and instead of using R&D information, use other intangible information, such as human resource training costs as future research. This research was done by qualitative method; to reduce prearbitration and personal interpretations and better understand the context and situation, researchers are suggested to use hybrid research methods in future research.

The main limitation in doing this research was the preparation and

extraction of intangible information, especially R&D costs, from complementary notes. Some non-financial information of the company is not easily available to the public, which may be for competitive reasons. It should be noted that Iranian pharmaceutical companies are forced to receive facilities due to the long period of receivables, which leads to considerable growth in their financial costs. Part of the low net profit growth can be due to increased financial costs. Also, since the data collection tool was a questionnaire, there were limitations to the questionnaire, including the possibility of not returning the questionnaire, the probability of not understanding the concepts and content of the questionnaire, ambiguity for the respondents, and so on.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

References

Ajzen, I (1977) "Int i tive The ries f Events and the Effects of Base-Rate Infr atin n Preditin" *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 35: 303-314.

Arefmanesh, Z, & Rah ani, A (2015) "de in f intan ib e assets inc a oe ted anie s in Tehran st exchange" *journal of management accounting* 8(26): 81-112.

Arefmanesh, Z., Rahmani, A., Hejazi, R., & Amirshahi, M. (2016). "Investigating the va e relevance f intan ible assets inufutue earnin s and futurecashofoowöjournal of knowledge of financial

accounting, 3(1): 1-20.

Arvidsson, S. (2011) "Disuuusue f Non-financial Information in the AnnuauuRoort". *Journal of Intellectual Capital*, 12(2): 277-300.

Bini, L, Sim ni, L, Dainelli, IF, & i nta, F (2018) "B siness de and non-financia key performance indicat r disc s re " *Journal of Business Models*, 6(2): 5-9.

Buzinskiene, R. (2017). Determination of the Value of Intangible Assets in the Companies of Lithuania. *Journal of Economics and Culture*, 14(2): 55-68.c

Cardinaels, E (2008) "The interllay between c st accuunting knowledge and presentation formats in cost-based decision-cacinc"

Accounting, Organizations and Society, 33: 582–602.

Dan L (2007) "Estigating gactivity costs: oow the provision of accurate historical activity data from a biased cost system can im r ve individ a s' cost estication cac curacy" *Behavioral Research in Accounting*, 19: 133–159.

Danie, Z., & Anis, M (2011) "The accuunting treatment of intangibles – a criticaccreview of the literature" *Accounting Forum*, 35: 262-274. Developing accounting standards committee, audit organization (2008). Iranian accounting standards, standards number 3 & 17.

Dyczkkwska, J (2016) "Determinants and Quality f R&D Dis sr es in Annual Reports of Biotechnoocoica Cppp anies" pWrcc a University of Economics. Online Retrieved from https://researchgate.net.

Ecc es, R , e rz, R , Keegan, E M , & Phillips, DHMH 2001) "The Value Reporting: Moving Beyond the Earnings Game" NewoYor: J hn Wiley & Sons, New York, NY.

Einh rn, J, & oo garth, R M (1986) "Jgdging probab e cause". *Psychological Bulletin*, 99: 3-19.

Elli t W.B, ggg e F D, Kennedy J.J, & P n M (2007) "Are M.B.A. students a good proxy for nonprofessiona investors?" *The Accounting Review*, 82(1): 139-168.

Fad r, C, & Mirunius, M (2013) "Study n the perceptin of accuunting professionals concerning intangible assets and intangible capita.". *Theoretical and Applied Economics*, xx (4): 77-98. Financial Accounting Standards Board – FASB NN (2001a) "Getting a grin n intangible assets-what they are, why they matter, and who should be managing them in your r ganization". *Harvard Management Update*, 6(2): 6-8.

Ghanbari, M., Fathallahi, kh., Mozafari, S., & Ghorbanzadeh, M. (2017). "review the rlle of non-financial performance measures in disclosure in financia reoorting"ggnew research in accounting and management, 21(10): 165-174.

Ha es, J, Xi (Jas n) K, & Venkatara an S (2011) "Wh believes the hype? An experimental examination of how language affects investor jgdgoents" odournal of Accounting Research, 40(1): 223-255. Heitger,

Hendricksen, E. F. (1982). *Accounting Theory*, Richard D, Irwin Homewood, Chapter 5: 102-15.

Khani, A., Sadeghi, M., & Mohammadi Holeh Soe, M. (2014). "effect of research and development costs on the stock return of active pharmaceutical cpppanie spin Tehran stoc exchange" *Quarterly financial accounting*, 6(21): 153-174.

Lev, B (1999) "R&D and Capita Markets". *Journal of Applied Corporate Finance*, 11: 21-35.

Mah di, M, Hadian, S A, & Fallah Abed, T (2015) "impact leve of voluntary disclosure quality of financial and non-financial measures on earningsofoecast" *journal of financial management guideline*, 3(10): 105-128.

Mashay hi, B., Beyrami, , & Beyrami, (2014).. "determininmthe va e of intangible assets gsinggartificiaceneura netwkrk" *journal of empirical accounting research*, 4(14): 223-238.

Mazaheri, A (2014) "accuunting eth ds f intangible assets and challenges ahead" *Journal of accounting and auditing studies*, 3(9): 113-125.

Mehrpoyafar, N. (2016). "investigating the effect of disclosure of research and development information on the cost of capital study of active companies in the chemical, pharmaceutical and food industries accepted in Tehran stkkkk exchange" Master's Thesis, The Mazandaran University, Retrieved from Iran dock.

Parvai, A, &o Kordestani, h (2018) "behavioraooexllana ti n of management decisions in the field of investment in intangible assets: reward hy thesis testin based n the experimentamapproach" *review of accounting and auditing*, 25(4): 479-496.

Rahnama Roudposhti, F., Nikomaram, H., & Nonahal Nahr, A. A. (2012) "Eva ati n f the impact of jgdg ent and cognitive approaches in accuunting exllana ti n reoorts" *Review of accounting and auditing*, 19(2): 47-72.

Sharma, K, & Kaur, M (2019) "Intangible assets: reggrtinggpractices and hidden va e measurement" much research journal of social sciences, 10(1): 102-118.

Swans n, Z (2018)" Interna intangible asset effect n fir va ati n University of Centra Olla h a, SSRN Database", Availab e at: http: //dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3134117.

Tversky, A, & Kahneman, D (1980) "Ca sa Sche as in Jgdgmentsm under Uncertainty" In M Fishbein (Ed) Pr r essents ia Psy h y,cNewo York, Lawrence Earlbaum Associates.

Uoton, o W.S., Jr (2001) "Specia Reoort: B siness and Financial Reggrting, gChaggnges fr t he NewcEamnmy" d8tacfc rd, cCT:cASBc

Valizadeh Larijani, A., & Hadidifard, Aoo(2016)oo "Theoreoationshioo between financial and accounting factors with Profit dividend ratio in different states so fubusiness uife" *Journal of the stock exchange*, 9(36): 75-96.

Winchec, J (2008) "When d negative argmments increase the credibility of favorab e analyst reoorts?" The University of Texas f A stin.

Yari, F..(^Y · 18).."Independent tr st f non-financial information: securing tr st" *Journal of auditor*, 96: 26-28.

Yen, A. C. C. (2004). "Effects on investor judgements from expanded disclosures of nonfinancial intangibles information". The University of Texas-Austin. Online Retrieved from https://repositories.lib.utexas.edu.



Mosallanezhad, Tahereh; Khajavi, Shokrallah; Gholami, Abdolkhalegh & Valipour, Hashem (2021). Investigating the Effects of Disclosure of Non-Financiac@ntangible Informati n n Invest rs' J d ent a b t Futureu Financial Performance of the Company. *Iranian Journal of Finance*, 5(2), 21-45.

Copyright © 2021, Tahereh Mosallanezhad, Shokrallah Khajavi, Abdolkhalegh Gholami and Hashem Valipour