

Journal of International Marketing Modeling Volume 3, Issue 1, pp. 1-13, 2022 Journal homepage: http://jimm.journals.umz.ac.ir

ISSN 2717-381X

Faculty of Economics & Administrative Sciences

Scenario Planning and Strategic Innovation: The mediating effects of Strategic Thinking and Strategic Flexibility

Esmaeil Malekakhlagh^a, Mohammad Safari^{b*}, Shahrokh Beigi^c, Mohammad Reza Rokhideh^d

^a. Associate Professor, Department of Business Management, University of Guilan, Rasht, Iran

^b. Assistant Professor, Department of Business Management, University of Mazandaran, Babolsar, Mazandaran, Iran

^c. PhD Student in Business Policy Making, Department of Business Management, University of Guilan, Rasht, Iran

^d. PhD Student in Business Policy Making, Department of Business Management, University of Guilan, Rasht, Iran

Abstract

The purpose of this study was to investigate the effect of scenario planning on strategic innovation considering the mediating role of strategic thinking and strategic flexibility. This research is applied in terms of purpose and descriptive survey in nature. The statistical population of the study is the manufacturing companies of Bushehr province. In order to determine the sample size, the test-software method (G-Power) was used. Based on this, 222 observations were calculated. Using a simple random sampling method with the online survey, 176 questionnaires were finally received by the researchers. Data analysis was performed using the structural equation method and Smart PLS 3 software. Research findings showed that scenario planning has a positive and significant effect on strategic innovation. The mediating effect of strategic flexibility and strategic thinking in the relationship between scenario planning and strategic innovation is also significant. The present study expands the management literature by filling in research gaps. Organizations today need to change their past strategies to be able to understand and meet customer needs faster and more competitively than other competitors.

كادعلومرانساني ومطالعات

Keywords: Strategic Innovation, Scenario Planning, Strategic Thinking, Strategic Flexibility.

1. Introduction

Today, the main features of the business environment in developing countries and emerging economies are extreme environmental changes and instability that are highly unpredictable at the macro level (Wiltbank et al., 2006; Bouhalleb & Smida, 2020). In the face of global change, firms can no longer compete with large competitors in the traditionally fast-changing economy through just one type of innovation; And if a firm wants to withstand global competition, it needs to quickly change the way it does business. To do this, it needs a way of innovation that helps your business adapt to a changing business environment as quickly as possible by applying the right types of innovation (Faghih et al., 2018). In such a situation, firms need strategic planning for their implementation and survival. Strategic innovation with a holistic and systematic approach is what firms and organizations can use to maintain competition. Because strategic innovation is a combination of innovation and strategy that by creating growth strategies, new product classes, services, and various business models change the game and create new values for customers and the firm (Schlegelmilch et al., 2003; Bouhalleb & Smida, 2020). Since strategic innovation as a systematic concept is faced with a general change in the plan of an organization, firms to identify the extent of implementation of strategic innovation in the organization and measure it, need to find factors that with their help they can implement strategic innovation in their organizations



^{*} Corresponding author.

E-mail address: mo.safari@umz.ac.ir (M. Safari)

Received 13 October 2021; Received in revised form 18 February 2022; Accepted 24 February 2022

and firms (Chen et al., 2018). On the other hand, most managers have experienced an environment with unpredictable changes and have failed in short-term planning to deal with these uncertainties. Therefore, to develop an effective plan to solve this problem, managers use scenario planning as a technique for forecasting the future (Bood & Postma, 1998). Scenario planning is one of the most dynamic strategic management measures to deal with rapid change and uncertainties (Feng & Luo, 2019). Scenarios contribute to cognitive dynamics and strategic investment decisions in a changing environment. A wide range of literature on scenario planning focuses on the development of this tool in firms (Vecchiato, 2019) and many studies present scenario planning as an innovative activity that deals with uncertainty and improves strategic decisions (Brooks & Curnin, 2020). On the other hand, firms today emphasize strategic thinking and flexibility that results from their ability to negotiate and invest in uncertainty and dynamism in indoor and outdoor environments (Bouhalleb & Smid, 2020). Strategic thinking is defined as an approach to the organizational thinking process that creates smart and inspiring actions to gain a competitive advantage over competitors and create an investment for new business (Shaik & Dhir, 2020). This issue is considered with a special view to the future, determining the current position of the organization and paying attention to the perspective and focusing on the key interdependencies of the organization and its environment (Bratianu, 2017). In other words, strategic thinking is a special way of thinking that can be considered as a "strategy architecture skill". The goal is to discover innovative and creative strategies that can rewrite the rules of the competition game. In addition, the achievement of strategic innovation and competitive advantage can be maintained by a firm in a changing business environment through the flexibility of policies and approaches. Because flexibility is a key factor in reducing risks and uncertainties in the market (Uman & Sommanawat, 2019). Since one of the features of the business environment in developing countries is extreme environmental change and instability, flexibility can be an important mechanism to help firms in these dynamic environments (Yousuf et al, 2021). However, there are limited studies on how to take a balanced approach to strategic planning that, with strategic thinking and flexibility, lead to discipline and a conscious process (Ojha et al, 2020). Therefore, the present study aims to investigate the effect of scenario planning on strategic innovation, considering the mediating role of strategic thinking and strategic flexibility, seeks a deep insight into the scenario planning approach and how this tool affects strategic innovation. Considering these mediating relationships can address many of the criticisms in the field of empirical studies of strategic planning and the relationship between scenario planning and innovation. This new way will open a new view to the planning systems by covering all previous systems. Therefore, the main issue of this study is to investigate the mediating role of strategic thinking and strategic flexibility in the relationship between scenario planning and strategic innovation in manufacturing firms.

2. Theoretical Background

2-1. Strategic Innovation

Strategic innovation refers to new ideas that, when implemented, lead to changes in the methods used by the company to perform the desired activities and better business performance (Majimbo & Namusonge, 2020). Strategic innovation ensures that organizations do not fall into the trap of following accepted practices and repetitive patterns (AlQershi, 2021). Palmer and Kaplan (2016) defined strategic innovation as a comprehensive approach, a combination of creating business strategies, customer insight, and strategic alignment as a structure consistent with innovation to achieve company goals. Jeanne Liedtka(2014) defines strategic innovation as a future-based development structure that identifies growth opportunities, accelerates business decisions, and creates a sustainable competitive advantage for businesses in the long-term (Iravo, 2020; Palmer & Kaplan, 2016). The goal of strategic innovation is to change existing business, move to new markets, and create a leap in customer value (Gebaur, 2012). On the other hand, many organizations and firms today face increasingly sustained and uncertain competition, which has intensified due to technological innovations, changing market environments, and changing customer needs. This condition has led to major improvements in the organization's strategic vision, businesses priorities, and revisions of contemporary business models. In other words, it can be said that other approaches and solutions of the past have relatively lost their capability and ability to meet organizational challenges and the external environment, and it is better to replace them with new approaches and

perspectives. Therefore, one of the ways to respond to these factors of organizational change is the development of creativity, innovation, and scenario planning in organizations (Aldabbagh & Allawzi, 2019).

2-2. Scenario Planning

Foresight is the creation of a vision for the future and is closely related to scenario planning. It is quite difficult to provide a simple definition of scenario planning, and in a short definition, it can be considered a practice for the future. Scenarios are structurally events or stories about how the future will develop (Aldabbagh & Allawzi, 2019). Scenario planning can help policymakers meet their needs and want. This method is a comprehensive planning, examining the tools and paths to achieve the goal in the long-term (Ariza-vlvarez, 2021). The scenario planning literature increasingly emphasizes the strategic role of this tool. Managers participate in the scenario planning method by creating appropriate inputs for planning and decision making and facilitating interaction between stakeholders at different levels of the organization (Bouhalleb & Smida, 2020). Contrary to forecasts, the scenario accepts Uncertainty in the business environment as a fact and tries to understand it. Uncertainty in the business environment due to global change, increasing the speed of change and greater interconnection within and between systems, it is also globalization and new technologies (Alvarez et al, 2018). Research shows that the main advantage of scenario planning, along with trying to predict the future, is encouraging managers to explore strategic responses beyond their previous experiences and to make strategic decisions in a rapidly changing environment (Gray et al, 2020).

2-3. Strategic Thinking

Strategic thinking has long been used in various fields and in businesses, but in recent years has attracted the attention of researchers. Researchers have understood, defined, and discovered the dimensions of these strategies over the last two decades. Researchers interested in such strategies have provided definitions, including that Mintzberg (1994) developed the concept of strategic thinking in an academic context, explained its goals, and identified the dimensions of this concept in other terms (Alomari, 2020). Strategic thinking is the introduction to progress in today's business and is related to social change, technological advances, and applications for centralized opportunities (Shaik & Dhir, 2020). Strategic thinking includes: gathering information, analyzing and discussing the conditions governing firms that have diverse activities, and answering basic questions about the organization's portfolio (Ershadi & Dehdazzi, 2019). Hamel & Prahalad (1998) consider strategic thinking as a special way of thinking that can be considered as the skill of strategic architecture. In today's business, strategic thinking leads to dominant results in competitive markets. Strategic leaders in companies can have better strategic thinking than markets, which leads to a competitive advantage (Sibghatullah & Raza, 2020). One of the elements of strategic thinking is thinking in time, which analyzes the existing gaps. This can help facilitate the organization to achieve a competitive advantage in the organization and improve its performance by using scenario planning (Alatailat et al., 2019). Schoemaker(1995) sees scenario creation as an organized way of imagining possible futures in which company decisions about futures should be made, and such a framework reinforces strategic thinking in firms (Klarin & Ray, 2019; Schoemaker, 1995). Strategic thinking and scenario planning Differentiated thought processes, but they are interconnected and complementary (Pagani, 2009). O 'Brien and Meadows (2013) emphasize the role of scenario planning in strategic thinking. They conclude that the role of the scenario is focused on strategy development (Bouhalleb & Smida, 2020). In addition, scenario planning is a principled tool for creative thinking about a complex and uncertain future. Creating a scenario based on the circumstances, the desired goals, and the existence of insufficient opportunities in the environment leads to a combination of choices and strategic actions. (Nyaupane & Buzinde, 2017).

2-4. Strategic Flexibility

Strategic flexibility is an interesting theoretical structure that is very common in contemporary organizational research. This theoretical structure has been emphasized as a key factor for the survival of firms. Strategic flexibility is the organization's ability to respond to a changing environment (Wang et al, 2019) and reflects the changing nature of the firm's resources in creating a competitive advantage in market changes (Rialti et al, 2020). Flexible firms have the ability to change from one strategy to another (Majid et al, 2019). Shimizu and

Hitt (2004) consider strategic flexibility as an organization's ability to identify major changes in the external environment that are essential for the survival of firms in the face of increasing rates of change in product and process technology (Stelmaszczyk et al., 2020, Umam & Sommanawat, 2019). On the other hand, a review of the strategic foresight literature reveals scenario planning as a suitable tool for promoting strategic flexibility (Haarhaus & Liening, 2020). This type of planning, based on strategic flexibility, creates the many perspectives that strategy researchers need to defeat dogmatism (Georgantzas, 2020). Scenario planning based on flexibility reflects uncertainties in supply and demand (Chandra et al, 2005) Which replaces equilibrium with market fluctuations (Sala et al, 2012). In these conditions, management has to make difficult decisions about the direction of the firm. Timely response to changes in the market will ensure that the firm is not trapped in a stagnant or declining business and new growth opportunities are not lost. Scenario planning, if applied, can explain and create alternative perspectives on how changing needs, emerging technologies, government policies, and competitive advantage can be (Robert Grant, 2005). Research shows that flexible organizations have the ability to identify new knowledge, technology, and absorb and use them in products or service intermediaries, all of which improve business innovation (Miroshnychenko et al, 2020).

3. Research model and hypotheses

According to the theoretical foundations of the research and identifying the main variables of the research, the conceptual model of the research and the hypotheses proposed below have been formulated.



Figure 1. The proposed research conceptual model

Research Hypotheses

H1. Scenario planning has a positive and significant effect on strategic thinking.

H2. Scenario planning has a positive and significant effect on strategic flexibility.

H3. Scenario planning Strategic innovation has a positive and significant effect.

H4. Strategic flexibility has a positive and significant effect on strategic innovation.

H5. Strategic thinking has a positive and significant effect on strategic innovation.

H6. Strategic flexibility has a mediating role in the relationship between scenario planning and strategic innovation.

H7. Strategic thinking has a mediating role in the relationship between scenario planning and strategic innovation.

4. Research Methodology

The present study is applied in terms of purpose and descriptive survey in terms of data collection method because it describes the desired variables in the studied statistical sample, and develops applied knowledge about relationship quality and the impact of four variables: scenario planning, strategic thinking, strategic flexibility, and strategic innovation. The statistical population of the research is the managers of manufacturing firms in

Bushehr province. Due to the problems of thumb rules (Hair et al., 2014), the sample size was determined using the test-based method and G-Power software version 3.1 (Faul et al., 2009). The sample size was calculated by considering the error level of 5% and the minimum test power of 80% of the 3 predictor variables, 222 observations (Figure 2). The questionnaires were distributed online and a total of 176 questionnaires were received by the researchers. The return rate of the online questionnaire should be at least 65% for the survey process to have the necessary validity (Fincham, 2008), which is 79% in this study. A standard questionnaire was used to measure the research variables. Thus, it was used to measure scenario planning (Bouhalleb & Smida, 2020), strategic thinking (Moon, 2013), strategic flexibility (Yang et al., 2015), and strategic innovation (Berghman et al., 2013). Data analysis was performed in the descriptive statistics section with SPSS software version 26. In the inferential statistics section, the partial least squares approach and Smart PLS 3 software were used. Then, based on the opinion of Hair et al. (2011), the factor load values were first investigated. Then, by implementing the model, the researchers first evaluated the reliability, validity, and quality of the outer model by evaluating the measurement model, and finally evaluated the structural model and the quality of the overall model and analyzed the mediators.



Figure 2. Recommended sample size based on a priori power analysis

5. Analysis and findings

Table 1 shows the characteristics of the people participating in the survey. In order to obtain an appropriate overview of the research findings, the gender, age, and education of firm managers were examined. روبيستاه فلوم أكسابي ومطالعات

1611

Table 1: Demogr	aphic characteristics of the research	participants (n=176)
Variable	Level	Frequency percentage
	Male	78.9
Gender	Female	21
	Diploma	1.7
	Associate Degree	16.4
Education	BSc	57.9
	MSC and higher	23.8
	Less than 30 years	7.9
	30 to 40 years	41.5
Age	41 to 50 years	35.2
5	More than 50 years	15.3

In the following, according to Tables (2 & 3) by performing and confirming tests related to Cronbach's alpha reliability (a), composite reliability (CR), and (rho_A) as well as average variance extracted (AVE) and Fornell–Larcker tests related to validity Convergent and divergent, the reliability and validity of the measurement model were confirmed.

Latent Variables	Cronbach's Alpha	Rho_A	CR	AVE
Scenario planning (SP)	0.871	0.873	0.903	0.609
Strategic Flexibility (SF)	0.881	0.884	0.910	0.628
Strategic Thinking (ST)	0.840	0.850	0.893	0.678
Strategic Innovation (SI)	0.841	0.846	0.880	0.513

Table 2. Reliability and convergent validity for the measurement model (n= 176)

Table 3. Fornell–Larcker criterion (n= 176)						
Latent Variables	SP	SF	ST	SI		
Scenario planning (SP)	0.780					
Strategic Flexibility (SF)	0.608	0.792				
Strategic Thinking (ST)	0.616	0.643	0.823			
Strategic Innovation (SI)	0.650	0.735	0.698	0.716		

Hair et al. (2019) maintains that in order to perform divergent validity in the variance-based approach along with other tests, the values of the HTMT test should be below 0.9. Based on the results of the HTMT test, the measurement model is of good quality for all variables (Table 4).

Table 4. H	eterotrait-Mono	trait Ratio	(HTMT))
------------	-----------------	-------------	--------	---

Latent Variables	SP	SF	ST	S
Scenario planning (SP)	1			
Strategic Flexibility (SF)	0.684	alt is		
Strategic Thinking (ST)	0.705	0.724	1	
Strategic Innovation (SI)	0.745	0.845	0.816	

The research hypotheses have been tested in PLS Algorithm and Bootstraping modes with an error level of 5%.



Based on the results of Table (5), the first seven hypotheses of the study were all significant at a 95% confidence level.

Hypothesis	Path	Path Coefficient	t-Statistic	P-Value	Result
H1	SP → ST	0.616	12.409	0.000	Confirmed
H2	$SP \rightarrow SF$	0.608	12.252	0.000	Confirmed
H3	$\text{SP} \rightarrow \text{SI}$	0.215	3.678	0.000	Confirmed
H4	SF → SI	0.410	4.146	0.000	Confirmed
Н5	ST → SI	0.303	4.635	0.000	Confirmed

Table 5. PLS-SEM path coefficients: Direct effect results (n= 176)

Considering the values of coefficient of determination (R2) with three values of 0.19, 0.33, and 0.67, i.e. small, medium, and large predictive accuracy compared with the research results in Table (6), it was found that the behavior prediction of both variables including endogenous sustainable competitive advantage and business performance are at an extremely strong level. Ultimately, to evaluate the quality of the general model of the present study, two quality fit tests of the model including standardized root mean square residual tests (SRMR) with a suitable value of less than 0.08 and a Goodness-of-fit (GOF) for the general model with three values of 0.1. 0.25 And 0.36, ie small, medium, and large were used. The SRMR test was approved and according to the GOF value, the accuracy and results of the overall research model were at an extremely strong level.

Table 6. Goodn		
GOF	SRMR	R ²
$GOF = \sqrt{\overline{AVE} \times \overline{R^2}}$	Estimated Model= 0/079	0.370
GOF = 0.532	Saturated Model= 0/079	0.379
		0.651

The Sobel test is the most common method of testing mediating coefficients. This framework was introduced by Michael Sobel in 1982. The Sobel test is used to examine the significance of the mediator variable in the relationship between the independent and dependent variables. Therefore, the model should be run once without the presence of an intermediary and again with the presence of a mediating variable in the software. In the present study, the model was implemented without the presence of a mediating variable. The value of T-VALUE in both triangles (first and second mediator analysis) is significant for the straight path. That is, strategic flexibility and strategic thinking have a mediating effect on the relationship between scenario planning variables and strategic innovation with respect to direct significance. On the other hand, in the Sobel test, a Z-Value is calculated; if this value is greater than 1.96, the null hypothesis (that the mediating variable has no role between the relationship between the independent and dependent variables), at the error level of 0.05 was rejected and the mediating effect in this regard is significant. The results are reported in Table 7 below. Therefore, it can be acknowledged that the sixth to seventh hypotheses of the research are confirmed at the 95% confidence level.



6. Discussion and conclusion

The purpose of this study is to investigate the relationship between scenario planning, strategic innovation, strategic thinking, and strategic flexibility. Scenario planning has a significant impact on the firm's strategy and innovation. Corporate executives in the global marketplace are facing rapid environmental change and uncertainty in the 21st century. Empirical evidence for the theoretical relationships presented in the research confirms significant direct and indirect relationships. Model validation showed that scenario planning directly and through the variables of strategic thinking and strategic flexibility have a significant impact on strategic innovation in manufacturing firms. In the continuation of this research report, the discussion about the research findings, the conclusions related to the testing of research hypotheses, and the presentation of suggestions according to the obtained results are expressed in detail. The results of the first hypothesis test show the results;

Scenario planning with a path coefficient of 0.616 and t-statistic with a value of 12.409 has a positive and significant effect on strategic thinking. Scenario designers use external changes and opportunities as a tool to facilitate strategic thinking and political decision-making. Scenarios are also valuable tools for use in the innovation and development activities of manufacturing firms. Scenario managers need to think strategically about the future in new ways and focus on key interdependencies of the organization and its environment to achieve innovation strategies. Scenario planners need strategic thinking to be aware of assumptions about the future, unexpected changes in the external environment, and to avoid taking the organization by surprise and reexamining them. By integrating strategic thinking, Scenario managers create unique capabilities that form the basis of sustainable competitive advantage and strategic innovation in companies. This result is consistent with previous studies (e.g. Schoemaker, 1995; Verity, 2003; Pagani, 2009; Aldabbagh & Allawzi, 2019) Testing the second hypothesis shows that scenario planning with a path coefficient of 0.608 and t-statistic with a value of 12.252 has a positive and significant effect on strategic flexibility. Scenario planning is a strategic foresight tool designed to address uncertainty through exploration and anticipation of change. Thus, the importance of strategic flexibility increases through the formulation of strategies that take into account the consequences of current actions, anticipate important changes and events, and develop scenarios. Scenario planners in particular, by using multiple powers, strengthen the firm's ability to identify new business opportunities or threats. In other words, it increases the firm's ability to respond to environmental probabilities. Scenario planning in manufacturing firms helps to enrich strategic options and enable decision-makers to be flexible in their actions in the face of uncertain environments. This result is consistent with the findings. This result is consistent with studies (Vecchiato, 2015; Haarhaus & Liening, 2020; Bouhalleb & Smida, 2020). The results of the third hypothesis showed that scenario planning with a path coefficient of 0.215 and t-statistic with a value of 3.678 has a positive and significant effect on strategic innovation. Scenario planning can be considered as one of the dynamic ways to achieve strategic innovation in the face of possible futures and uncertainties. Scenario planning is presented as a way to approach complex project management, construct narratives, and identify alternative outcomes. In cases of high uncertainty, due to the occurrence of unexpected events, innovation is required. Increasing uncertainty has increased the importance of identifying future trends, expected business prospects, and strategic innovation in companies. In other words, the scenarios provide an overview of the environment and highlight the interaction between several future trends and events. The use of scenarios has increased due to the complexity and lack of obedience in the business environment. The scenario-building process contributes to learning and innovation in the organization. Scenario planners can achieve strategic innovation in manufacturing firms in the face of uncertainties. This result is consistent with the studies of Amer et al. (2013), Aldabbagh & Allawzi. (2019), and Turner (2021). Examination of the fourth hypothesis also showed that strategic flexibility with a path coefficient of 0.410 and t-statistic with a value of 6.146 has a positive and significant effect on strategic innovation. Strategic flexibility means that firms can achieve goals effectively. Strategic flexibility can help new firms dynamically adapt internal and external resources and capabilities and achieve strategic innovation. With strategic flexibility, senior managers can understand changes in the external environment and internal position of the firm in a timely manner and provide the process of development and impact on firm growth and strategic innovation. Strategic flexibility can help firms Modernization resources and dynamic capabilities to achieve strategic transformation, which has an important impact on the realization of strategic innovation in business. In manufacturing firms, strategic flexibility improves the competition and performance of firms by allocating resources and creating higher value for the customer, resulting in superior performance and strategic innovation in the business. This result is consistent with the findings of Nadkarni & Herrmann. (2010), Jiang (2020), and Mai et al. (2021). Testing the fifth hypothesis shows that strategic thinking with a path coefficient of 0.303 and tstatistic with a value of 4.635 has a positive and significant effect on strategic innovation. In manufacturing firms, the application of strategic thinking leads to the superior performance of firms in the market and strategic innovation in business. The purpose of strategic thinking is to develop learners who innovate, design, and manage new products and services, value-based information, communication and technology design, and creativity and innovation, leading to strategic innovation in business. The strategic thinking of leaders or mental processes is the basis of strategic innovation in business. For leaders, the path of strategic thinking to innovation is complex, effective, and full of obstacles. The rationality of leaders is limited, but through strategic thinking

with a combination of rationality and the necessary insight, they can be a good foundation for creative and effective strategies and the realization of strategic innovation. Baumgartner and Korhonen (2010) define strategic thinking as a process that involves gathering, combining, and refining information to create innovative ideas and strategies. Strategic thinking with rationality and intuition and visualizing the future among firm managers leads to strategic innovation in business. In other words, strategic thinking is a combination of creative, intuitive, and innovative thinking and is part of the strategic management process. This result is consistent with the findings of Calabrese & Costa (2015), Kazmi et al. (2016), AlQershi (2021), and Park & Lee (2021). Examination of the sixth and seventh hypotheses also showed that strategic thinking and flexibility at the 95% confidence level mediate the relationship between scenario planning and strategic innovation.

References

- Alatailat, M., Elrehail, H., & Emeagwali, O. L. (2019). High performance work practices, organizational performance and strategic thinking. *International Journal of Organizational Analysis*.
- Aldabbagh, I., & Allawzi, S. (2019). Rethinking Scenario Planning Potential Role in Strategy Making and Innovation: A Conceptual Framework Based on Examining Trends towards Scenarios and Firm's Strategy. Academy of Strategic Management Journal, 18(5), 1-14.
- Aldrich, S. C. (2018). Integrating Scenario Planning and Cost-Benefit Methods. Hastings Center Report, 48, S65-S69.
- Alnazer, N. N., Alnuaimi, M. A., & Alzoubi, H. M. (2017). Analysing the appropriate cognitive styles and its effect on strategic innovation in Jordanian universities. *International journal of business excellence*, 13(1), 127-140.
- Alomari, Z. (2020). Does human capital moderate the relationship between strategic thinking and strategic human resource management?. *Management Science Letters*, 10(3), 565-574.
- AlQershi, N. (2021). Strategic thinking, strategic planning, strategic innovation and the performance of SMEs: The mediating role of human capital. *Management Science Letters*, 11(3), 1003-1012.
- Alvarez, S., Afuah, A., & Gibson, C. (2018). Editors' comments: should management theories take uncertainty seriously?.
- Amer, M., Daim, T. U., & Jetter, A. (2013). A review of scenario planning. Futures, 46, 23-40.
- Ariza-Álvarez, A., Soria-Lara, J. A., Arce-Ruiz, R. M., López-Lambas, M. E., & Jimenez-Espada, M. (2021). Experimenting with scenario-building narratives to integrate land use and transport. *Transport Policy*, 101, 57-70.
- Augier, M., Dew, N., Knudsen, T., & Stieglitz, N. (2018). Organizational persistence in the use of war gaming and scenario planning. Long Range Planning, 51(4), 511-525.
- Axson, D.A.J., 2018. Scenario Planning, APPLYING A SIX-STEP PROCESS TO YOUR ORGANIZATION. Chartered Professional Accountants of Canada.
- Baartman, J. E., Melsen, L. A., Moore, D., & van der Ploeg, M. J. (2020). On the complexity of model complexity: Viewpoints across the geosciences. *Catena*, 186, 104261.
- Bartlett III, R. P., & Morse, A. (2020). Small business survival capabilities and policy effectiveness: Evidence from oakland (No. w27629). National Bureau of Economic Research.
- Baumgartner, R. J., & Korhonen, J. (2010). Strategic thinking for sustainable development. Sustainable Development, 18(2), 71-75.
- Berghman, L., Matthyssens, P., Streukens, S., & Vandenbempt, K. (2013). Deliberate learning mechanisms for stimulating strategic innovation capacity. *Long Range Planning*, *46*(1-2), 39-71.
- Bhandari, G., Bliemel, M., Harold, A., & Hassanein, K. (2004). Flexibility in e-business strategies: A requirement for success. Global Journal of Flexible Systems Management, 5(2/3), 11-22.
- Bood, R. P., & Postma, T. J. B. M. (1998). Scenario analysis as a strategic management tool. Graduate School/Research Institute Systems, Organisation and Management.
- Bouhalleb, A., & Smida, A. (2020). Exploring the relationship between scenario planning and strategic flexibility and complexity. *European Journal of International Management*, 14(3), 476-493.
- Bratianu, C. (2017, July). Strategic thinking in turbulent times. In Proceedings of the International Conference on Business Excellence (Vol. 11, No. 1, pp. 248-254). Sciendo.
- Brooks, B., & Curnin, S. (2020). Stretch-Thinking Loops: A New Technique for Scenario Planning. Risk, Hazards & Crisis in Public Policy.
- Burt, G., & Nair, A. K. (2020). Rigidities of imagination in scenario planning: Strategic foresight through 'Unlearning'. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 153, 119927.
- Cai, Z., Wang, B., Cong, C., & Cvetkovic, V. (2020). Spatial dynamic modelling for urban scenario planning: A case study of Nanjing, China. Environment and Planning B: Urban Analytics and City Science, 47(8), 1380-1396.
- Calabrese, A., & Costa, R. (2015). Strategic thinking and business innovation: Abduction as cognitive element of leaders' strategizing. *Journal of Engineering and Technology Management*, 38, 24-36.
- Chandra, C., Everson, M., & Grabis, J. (2005). Evaluation of enterprise-level benefits of manufacturing flexibility. Omega, 33(1), 17-31.
- Chen, M. H., Wang, H. Y., & Wang, M. C. (2018). Knowledge sharing, social capital, and financial performance: The perspectives of innovation strategy in technological clusters. *Knowledge Management Research & Practice*, 16(1), 89-104.

- Dinesh, K. K., & Sushil. (2019). Strategic innovation factors in startups: results of a cross-case analysis of Indian startups. *Journal for Global Business Advancement*, 12(3), 449-470.
- Dortland, M. V. R., Voordijk, H., & Dewulf, G. (2014). Making sense of future uncertainties using real options and scenario planning. *Futures*, 55, 15-31.
- Edgar, B., Abouzeedan, A., & Hedner, T. (2010). Scenario planning as a tool to promote innovation in regional development context.
- Emamisaleh, K., & Taimouri, A. (2020). Assessing the relationship between formal strategic planning and firm's financial performance: An emphasis on flexible planning and innovation. *JIMS8M: The Journal of Indian Management & Strategy*, 25(3), 31-38.
- Ershadi, M. J., & Dehdazzi, R. E. (2019). Investigating the role of strategic thinking in establishing organizational excellence model. *The TQM Journal*.
- Faghih, N., Dastourian, B., Sajadi, S. M., Henten, A., & Foroudi, P. (2018). A framework for business model with strategic innovation in ICT firms: The importance of information. *The Bottom Line*.
- Fayezi, S., Zutshi, A., & O'Loughlin, A. (2017). Understanding and development of supply chain agility and flexibility: a structured literature review. *International journal of management reviews*, 19(4), 379-407.
- Feng, J., & Luo, X.(2019). Median Role of Technology Innovation Strategic Information Acquisition Capacity in the Relationship between Board Capital and Technology Innovation Strategy Decision-Making Quality.
- Frith, D., & Tapinos, E. (2020). Opening the 'black box' of scenario planning through realist synthesis. *Technological Forecasting and* Social Change, 151, 119801.
- Garvin, D. A., & Levesque, L. C. (2005). A note on scenario planning. Harvard Business Review Cases.
- Gebauer, H., Worch, H., & Truffer, B. (2012). Absorptive capacity, learning processes and combinative capabilities as determinants of strategic innovation. *European Management Journal*, 30(1), 57-73.
- Georgantzas, N. C. (2020). Scenario-driven planning with system dynamics. System Dynamics: Theory and Applications, 41-67.
- Gordon, A. V. (2020). Matrix purpose in scenario planning: Implications of congruence with scenario project purpose. Futures, 115, 102479.
- Gordon, A. V. (2020). Matrix purpose in scenario planning: Implications of congruence with scenario project purpose. *Futures*, 115, 102479.
- Gray, S., O'Mahony, C., Hills, J., O'Dwyer, B., Devoy, R., & Gault, J. (2020). Strengthening coastal adaptation planning through scenario analysis: A beneficial but incomplete solution. *Marine Policy*, 111, 102391.
- Haarhaus, T., & Liening, A. (2020). Building dynamic capabilities to cope with environmental uncertainty: The role of strategic foresight. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 155, 120033.
- Hariri, R. H., Fredericks, E. M., & Bowers, K. M. (2019). Uncertainty in big data analytics: survey, opportunities, and challenges. *Journal* of Big Data, 6(1), 44.
- Iravo, M. A. (2020). STRATEGIC INNOVATION, A CONTEMPORARY MANAGEMENT PRACTICE ON PERFORMANCE OF TELECOMMUNICATION COMPANIES IN RWANDA.
- Islam, A., & Abd Wahab, S. (2021). The intervention of strategic innovation practices in between regulations and sustainable business growth: a holistic perspective for Malaysian SMEs. *World Journal of Entrepreneurship, Management and Sustainable Development*.
- Islam, M. S. (2020). Scenario Planning: Systematic Handling of Organisational Crises during COVID-19 Economic Recession. Available at SSRN 3684788.
- Jahanshahi, A. A., & Bhattacharjee, A. (2020). Competitiveness improvement in public sector organizations: What they need?. Journal of Public Affairs, 20(2), e2011.
- Jiang, Q. (2020). The Impact of the Strategic Flexibility on Enterprises' Innovation Performance in Dynamic Environment.
- Johannsen, R. M., Østergaard, P. A., Maya-Drysdale, D., Krog, L., & Mouritsen, E. (2021). Designing tools for energy system scenario making in municipal energy planning. Energies 2021; 14: 1442.
- Kazmi, S. A. Z., Naaranoja, M., Kytola, J., & Kantola, J. (2016). Connecting strategic thinking with product innovativeness to reinforce NPD support process. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 235, 672-684.
- Klarin, A., & Ray, P. K. (2019). Political connections and strategic choices of emerging market firms. *International Journal of Emerging Markets*.
- Lew, C., Meyerowitz, D., & Svensson, G. (2019). Formal and informal scenario-planning in strategic decision-making: an assessment of corporate reasoning. *Journal of Business & Industrial Marketing*.
- Li, P., Menon, M., & Liu, Z. (2019). Green innovation under uncertainty-a dynamic perspective. International Journal of Services, Economics and Management, 10(1), 68-88.
- Lindgren, M., & Bandhold, H. (2003). Scenario planning. London: Palgrave.
- Mai, Y., Yang, H., & Zhang, G. (2021). Does Business Model Innovation Enhance the Sustainable Development of New Ventures? Understanding an Inverted-U Relationship. Sustainability, 13(1), 262.
- Majid, A., Yasir, M., Yousaf, Z., & Qudratullah, H. (2019). Role of network capability, structural flexibility and management commitment in defining strategic performance in hospitality industry. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*.
- Majimbo, D. O., & Namusonge, M. (2020). Strategic innovation and performance of oil marketing firms in Nairobi City County, Kenya. International Academic Journal of Human Resource and Business Administration, 3(9), 228-245.
- Meissner, P., & Wulf, T. (2013). Cognitive benefits of scenario planning: Its impact on biases and decision quality. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 80(4), 801-814.

Miroshnychenko, I., Strobl, A., Matzler, K., & De Massis, A. (2020). Absorptive capacity, strategic flexibility, and business model innovation: Empirical evidence from Italian SMEs. *Journal of Business Research*.

Moon, B. J. (2013). Antecedents and outcomes of strategic thinking. Journal of Business Research, 66(10), 1698-1708.

- Muthukrishnan, M. N. (2020). A STUDY ON SIGNIFICANCE OF INNOVATION & STRATEGIC MANAGEMENT IN ENGINEERING PROCUREMENT AND CONSTRUCTION FIRMS OF TAMIL NADU. *Journal of Critical Reviews*, 7(5), 2072-2080.
- Nadikattu, R. R. (2020). Effective Innovation Management in Strategic Planning. *Rahul Reddy Nadikattu, INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ENGINEERING, SCIENCE AND*, 9(5), 106-116.
- Nadkarni, S., & Herrmann, P. O. L. (2010). CEO personality, strategic flexibility, and firm performance: The case of the Indian business process outsourcing industry. *Academy of Management Journal*, 53(5), 1050-1073.
- Nyaupane, G., & Buzinde, C. (2017). Scenario Planning: A Planning Tool for an Uncertain Future.
- Ojha, D., Patel, P. C., & Sridharan, S. V. (2020). Dynamic strategic planning and firm competitive performance: A conceptualization and an empirical test. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 222, 107509.
- Oliver, J. J., & Parrett, E. (2018). Managing future uncertainty: Reevaluating the role of scenario planning. *Business Horizons*, 61(2), 339-352.
- Pagani, M. (2009). Roadmapping 3G mobile TV: Strategic thinking and scenario planning through repeated cross-impact handling. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 76(3), 382-395.
- Palmer, D., & Kaplan, S. (2016). A Framework for Strategic Innovation. Los Angeles: Innovation Point.
- Park, W., & Lee, H. K. (2021). Creative integration of design thinking and strategic thinking in a design education framework. *Creativity Studies*, *14*(1), 160-174.
- Peterson, G. D., Cumming, G. S., & Carpenter, S. R. (2003). Scenario planning: a tool for conservation in an uncertain world. *Conservation biology*, 17(2), 358-366.
- Ponelis, S. R. (2019). Scenarios for information and communication technology in sub-Saharan African academic libraries: a research proposal.
- Ramirez, R., Churchhouse, S., Palermo, A., & Hoffmann, J. (2017). Using scenario planning to reshape strategy. *MIT Sloan Management Review*, 58(4).
- Retek, M. (2021). Scenario building in an interactive environment and online communication. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 162, 120395.
- Rhydderch, A., & Alexander, J. (2009). Scenario planning: Guidance note. Foresight horizon scanning centre, Government Office for Science.
- Rialti, R., Marzi, G., Caputo, A., & Mayah, K. A. (2020). Achieving strategic flexibility in the era of big data. Management Decision.
- Riungu, C. M. (2020). Influence of Strategic Innovation Practices on Organizational Performance: A Case of KCB Bank Kenya Limited (Doctoral dissertation, United States International University-Africa).
- Robert M. Grant. (2005), Contemporary Strategy Analysis: Concepts, Techniques, Applications, Fifth Edition, First published by Blackwell Publishing Ltd.
- Sadeghi, M., & Hasanpoor, H. (2020), Validation of Managers Strategic Thinking Mindset Test based on components of intellectual flexibility, intellectual humility, and intellectual inclusiveness. Advances in Cognitive Science, 0-0.
- Sala, H., Silva, J. I., & Toledo, M. (2012). Flexibility at the margin and labor market volatility in OECD countries. *The Scandinavian Journal of Economics*, 114(3), 991-1017.
- Schlegelmilch, B. B., Diamantopoulos, A., & Kreuz, P. (2003). Strategic innovation: the construct, its drivers and its strategic outcomes. Journal of strategic marketing, 11(2), 117-132.
- Schoemaker, P. J. (1995). Scenario planning: a tool for strategic thinking. Sloan management review, 36(2), 25-50.
- Schwarz, J. O. (2020). Revisiting scenario planning and business wargaming from an Open Strategy perspective. World Futures Review, 12(3), 291-303
- Schwarz, J. O., Ram, C., & Rohrbeck, R. (2019). Combining scenario planning and business wargaming to better anticipate future competitive dynamics. *Futures*, 105, 133-142.
- Shaik, A. S., & Dhir, S. (2020). A meta-analytical review of factors affecting the strategic thinking of an organization. *foresight*.
- Shaik, A. S., & Dhir, S. (2020). A meta-analytical review of factors affecting the strategic thinking of an organization. foresight.
- Sibghatullah, A., & Raza, M. (2020). The Impact of Strategic Leadership on Competitive Advantage: The Mediating Role of Ambidexterity and Information System: Evidence from Islamic Banks in Jordan. *IJIIS: International Journal of Informatics* and Information Systems, 3(2), 67-80.
- Stelmaszczyk, M., & Pierscieniak, A. (2020). Strategic Flexibility as a Mediator in Relationship between Managerial Decisions and Organizational Learning: Ambidexterity Perspective. *European Research Studies Journal*, 23(4), 612-622.
- Tapinos, E., & Pyper, N. (2018). Forward looking analysis: Investigating how individuals 'do'foresight and make sense of the future. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, *126*, 292-302.
- Torrieri, F. (2020). Scenario Analysis and Strategic Environmental Assessment. In Strategic Environmental Assessment and Urban Planning (pp. 31-45). Springer, Cham.
- Turner, R. (2021). Using Principal-steward Contracting and Scenario Planning to Manage Megaprojects. Project Management Journal.
- Umam, R., & Sommanawat, K. (2019). Strategic flexibility, manufacturing flexibility, and firm performance under the presence of an agile supply chain: A case of strategic management in fashion industry. *Polish Journal of Management Studies*, 19.

- Vankireddy, K., & Baral, R. (2019). Organisational and inter-organisational competencies for supply chain integration. International Journal of Process Management and Benchmarking, 9(1), 101-123.
- Varadarajan, R. (2018). Innovation, innovation strategy, and strategic innovation. In *Innovation and Strategy*. Emerald Publishing Limited.
- Vecchiato, R. (2015). Strategic planning and organizational flexibility in turbulent environments. Foresight.
- Vecchiato, R. (2019). Scenario planning, cognition, and strategic investment decisions in a turbulent environment. Long Range Planning, 52(5), 101865
- Verity, J. (2003). Scenario planning as a strategy. European Business Journal, 5(4), 185-195.
- Visser, M. P., & Chermack, T. J. (2009). Perceptions of the relationship between scenario planning and firm performance: A qualitative study. *Futures*, 41(9), 581-592.
- Wang, X., Qi, Y., & Zhao, Y. (2019). Individual unlearning, organizational unlearning and strategic flexibility. *Baltic Journal of Management*.
- Wilson, I., Chapuy, P., Lafourcade, B., De Jouvenel, H., Van Der Heijden, K., Vasquez, J. M., ... & Ayres, R. U. (2000). Scenario planning. *Technological Forecasting & Social Change vol. 65 n. 1*.
- Woyke, E., & Maha, A. (2007). The talent hunt: Design programs are shaping a new generation of creative managers. Business Week, 4054, 54.
- Yang, J., Zhang, F., Jiang, X., & Sun, W. (2015). Strategic flexibility, green management, and firm competitiveness in an emerging economy. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 101, 347-356.
- Yawson, R. (2020). Strategic flexibility analysis of HRD research and practice post COVID-19 pandemic. *Human Resource Development International*, 23(4), 406-417.

