



## The Editor's Note

### Intimately familiar with a problem

Maulana has an admonitory allegory: somebody hit some one else's neck hard. The one who slapped asked the other, do you think that the cracking noise heard from your neck or my hand? The man who was slapped answered: this is the question of painless people. I am not able to answer because of the pain.

Said, I have not time because of pain  
To think of this continuously  
You, who are painless, think of this  
The one who is intimately familiar  
with a problem does not think of this

Do the subjects reviewed in dissertations, articles, and researches in the field of library and information sciences are really out of need and pain? Do these subjects, which moat of them are counted as applied subjects,

raised from the heart of the needs and formed to remove deficiencies of the society. If we calculate frequency of the subjects of the articles published in "Faslnameh Ketab" will we find there problems and difficulties of library and information science in our country.

It seems that in most researches in this field two major approaches can be viewed:

\*Duplicated problems and questions that reviewed many a time and classic answers had been stated. Like scholastic questions that thousands of years have been asked by Christian devout persons and Cruciferous scholars have repeated those old answers without any neo-religious thinking. These problems are proposed in library and information science and without any dynamism and giving birth in answer those previous words repeated

continuously. Literature of our field of study is full of these kinds of reviews, and almost consist of researches that certainly most of them are not raised from problems and needs and maybe, if there exist a problem is the problem of not finding subject of research.

\*Another approach belongs to those who have set aside book and library and use meter and other tools to evaluate Weblogs and visible and invisible Web. During these days few researches may concern with rural or even school libraries. If any question raises on this subject it will be from the previous kind that, its repeat has become boring. This group draw an image that you may think all villagers and city-dwellings are concerned with chat and weblogging. They observe their librarianship mission in analyzing and assessing those behaviors and of course this recent group move completely according new modes and gain necessary prestige with presenting these new discussions.

From methodology point of view it is known that a research could be repeated many a time in different places according to the necessity. We know that paying attention to the modern technologies in all fields including library and information

science are of necessary actions. There exists a question: what percent of these researches is really raised from need and pain and how much of it is the conclusion of painlessness and putting an end to the duty. How much of it, is for covering the present deficiencies and how much for achieving scientific prestige and/or financial issues. Property that managers and authorities should apparently know as World's banished ornaments!?

This area needs pain owner doctors who look for deficiencies and existed strengths and necessities for present and future and offer proper prescriptions, otherwise there exists doctor-looking people whom like businesspeople and falsely are looking for recognizing damages and their prescriptions needs only suitable for Nasser-Khosrow Street. Do we know that recently research and article makers are working in our country who deliver dissertations of any educational grade during one month according to our order and guarantee their acceptance. The only difference between these two is: their resort is located opposite Tehran University and send advertisement through Web!

**Fariborz Khosravi**